CUSCUTA EPITHYMUM L. (CONVOLVULACEAE), THE MOST WIDESPREAD SPECIES IN SOUTHERN TRANSYLVANIA, ROMANIA

Maria TANASE

"Lucian Blaga" University of Sibiu, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences, Food Industry and Environmental Protection, Sibiu, Romania, Email: maritanase@yahoo.com

Corresponding author: maritanase@yahoo.com

Abstract

Dodder species affect several thousand hectares in Romania, cutting crops, that is why they became a major economic concern, especially for lucerne, clover, potato, pasture, meadow crops [3]. The impact ranges from moderate loss to severe reduction in plant growth and in some cases, even the death of the host plant, and the severity of the infestation depends largely on the stage of development of the host plants at the initial fixation of the steam [20]. Cuscuta epithymum L. is the most widespread species in the entire analysis, on the territory of the counties Sibiu and Brasov, as well as the parasite on most host plant species. Dodder is a particularly dangerous and harmful quarantine plant, it produces a general disruption of metabolism in the plants they parasite, from which it absorbs organic and inorganic nutrients, weakens and prevents the growth and development of host plants, which leads to their death.

Key words: Cuscuta epithymum, holoparasitic anthophytes, host plants, polyphagous

INTRODUCTION

Dodders are counted among the most dangerous quarantine parasitic weeds, not only in Romania, but also in the majority of countries in the warm and temperate climate zones worldwide [33], [34]. They are therefore spread in the southern and central parts of Europe, in South Asia, Northern Africa, the warm and temperate zones of North America and South America, as well as in Australia. Due to their non-abidance to the rules of phytosanitary quarantine, dodders have been spreading on even more surfaces; the seed reserves in the ground thus increasing from year to year. The current distribution of cuscuta in the dymanic landscape can be determined by means of a persistant seed bank [19]. Such species are considered to be harmful and invasive weeds according to the legisiation of most countries. having commercial significance with regards to the import/export of seeds/vegetal material that can be susceptible of containing cuscuta seeds; hence their quarantine status [10]; [6]. Cuscuta spp. are obligate holoparasitic anthophytes, dependent on suitable hosts for nutrients [20], water and physical support.

Although the names of numerous species suggest that they would be specialized on certain host plants, according to personal observations, that are also confirmed by Meulebrouck [19] (for *Cuscuta epithymum*), cuscuta species are polyphagous; and specialty literature indicates that a high number of cuscuta species can grow on a large variety of host plants, even if they prefer certain ones [1].

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The objective of the work is to send expeditions on the territory of Sibiu AND Brasov county, between May and October each year, for the detection and collection of the dodder. On the occasion of the trips, various cultures, pastures and meadows were tracked, railroad tracks and even the tram line, the roadside, uncultivated land, etc. For each sample, it was noted: the locality, the crop (the host plant species), the degree of attack, the phenotype of the dodder and the date.

Samples were harvested and kept under appropriate conditions. The biological material analyzed was in various stages of development, from the first stages to the

Scientific Papers Series Management, Economic Engineering in Agriculture and Rural Development Vol. 18, Issue 4, 2018

PRINT ISSN 2284-7995, E-ISSN 2285-3952

fructification phase. In order to determine the host plants and the sowing species, a recent bibliography with current scientific nomenclature has been used.

I mention that other Cuscuta species have been noted in the analyzed area, in all species the identification was confirmed by the Romanian researcher Mihai Costea Curator of Herbarium of the Wilfrid Laurier University Biology Department in Waterloo, Ontario, Canada [9]. Specimens sent by us are marked M. Tanase s.n. ("sin numero") and stored as vouchers in the herbarium of the university.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Information and documentation to identify areas for the spread of dodder began in 2011 and continued in the years to 2016, in terms of identifying areas of distribution, but also the presence of sowing in fodder perennial crops and grasslands in the area, crops and localities.



Fig. 1., Sibiu County, locality where reported *Cuscuta*, by us (http://pe-harta.ro/Sibiu/ - processed)

The analyzed area is actually the agricultural territory belonging to Sibiu and Brasov counties, where field trials were conducted to collect information and plant material, measurements, and reveals.

The crops were analyzed from a phytosanitary point of view, following the presence of the dodder, we have collected statistical data refer spreading, numerical density at the surface unit, host plant, damage produced. Based on the data, we mapped the localities where the dodder and the list of host plants were identified.

Table 1. Cuscuta spp. in Sibiu County, identified by us

	11				
Nr. crt.	LOCALITY	C. campestris	C. epithymum	C. europaea	C. lupuliformis
1.	AGÂRBICIU		٠		
2.	AGNITA		٠		
3.	APOLDU DE SUS	▼			
4.	ARMENI		٠		
5.	BOIŢA		٠		
6.	BRĂDENI		٠		
7.	BUIA	▼	٠		
8.	CISNĂDIE	▼			0
9.	CISNĂDIOARA	▼			0
10.	COPŞA MICĂ	▼			
11.	CRISTIAN				
12.	DUMBRAVA SIBIULUI		٠		
13.	DUMBRĂVENI				
14.	НАМВА		٠		
15.	MARPOD		٠		
16	LOAMNEŞ		٠		
17.	MIERCUREA SIBIULUI				
18	OCNA SIBIULUI		٠		
19.	POPLACA		٠		
20.	RĂŞINARI		٠		0
21.	RUŞI	▼	٠		
22.	SĂĹIȘTE	▼			
23.	SIBIU		٠		
24.	SLIMNIC		٠		
25.	ŞEICA MARE	▼	٠		
26.	ŞURA MARE		٠		
27.	TÂRNAVA		٠		
28.	TURNIŞOR				
29.	VIILE SIBIULUI	▼	٠		
	ource: Original				

Source: Original.



Fig. 2. Brasov County, locality where reported Cuscuta, by us (http://pe-harta.ro/Brasov/processed)

Scientific Papers Series Management, Economic Engineering in Agriculture and Rural Development Vol. 18, Issue 4, 2018

PRINT ISSN 2284-7995, E-ISSN 2285-3952

Table 2. Cuscuta spp. in	Braşov County identified by
us	

Nr. crt.	LOCALITY	C. campestris	C. epithymum	C. europaea
1.	RÂŞNOV			
2.	BRAŞOV			
3. 4.	CAȚA			
4.	VIȘTEA DE SUS		٠	
5.	BRAŞOV	▼		
6.	BRAŞOV			
7.	STUPINI BV.			
8.	STUPINI		٠	
9.	CAŢA		٠	
10.	UCEA		٠	
11.	VIŞTEA			
12.	DRAGUŞ și OLTEȚ		٠	
13.	MESCHENDORF			
14.	BUNEȘTI		٠	
15.	VLĂDENI	▼		
16	CRISTIAN			
17.	VULCAN			
18	MAIERUŞ		٠	
19.	HĂLCHIU		٠	
20.	CODLEA			
21.	MÂNDRA		٠	
22.	BRAŞOV	▼		
23.	MĂGURELE		٠	
24.	ŞERCAIA		٠	
25.	VOILA		٠	
26.	SÂMBATA DE JOS		٠	

Source: Original.

Dodders are counted among the most dangerous quarantine parasitic weeds, not only in Romania, but also in the majority of countries in the warm and temperate climate zones worldwide [33]; [34]. They are therefore spread in the southern and central parts of Europe, in South Asia, Northern Africa, the warm and temperate zones of North America and South America, as well as in Australia. Due to their non-abidance to the rules of phytosanitary quarantine, dodders have been spreading on even more surfaces; the seed reserves in the ground thus increasing from year to year.

The current distribution of cuscuta in the dymanic landscape can be determined by means of a persistant seed bank [19]. Such species are considered to be harmful and invasive weeds according to the legislation of most countries, having commercial significance with regards to the import/export of seeds/vegetal material that can be susceptible of containing cuscuta seeds; hence their quarantine status [10]; [6].

 Table 3. Host plants for Cuscuta epithymum identified by us

Nr.					
crt.	Host plants species	Botanic family			
1.	Agropyron repens	Poaceae			
2.	Avena sativa	Poaceae			
3.	Centhaurea nigrescens	Asteraceae			
4.	Chrysanthemum leucanthemum	Asteraceae			
5.	Coronilla varia	Fabaceae			
6.	Cruciata glabra	Rubiaceae			
7.	Cytisus nigricans	Fabaceae			
8.	Dactylis glomerata	Poaceae			
9.	Euphorbia cyparisias	Euphorbiaceae			
10.	Fagopyrum convolvulus	Polygonaceae			
11.	Festuca rubra	Poaceae			
12.	Festuca rupicola	Poaceae			
13.	Galium rubioides	Rubiaceae			
14.	Gallium palustre	Rubiaceae			
15.	Gallium vernum	Rubiaceae			
16.	Hypericum perforatum	Hypericaceae			
17.	Leontodon autumnalis	Asteraceae			
18.	Linaria vulgaris	Scrophulariaceae			
19.	Lotus corniculatus	Fabaceae			
20.	Mentha arvensis	Lamiaceae			
21.	Onobrychis viciifolia	Fabaceae			
22.	Pimpinella saxifraga	Apiaceae			
23.	Plantago lanceolata	Plantaginaceae			
24.	Rhinanthus serotinus	Scrophulariaceae			
25.	Sonchus arvensis	Asteraceae			
26.	Taraxacum officinale	Asteraceae			
27.	Tenerium chamaedrys	Lamiaceae			
28.	Vicia cracca	Fabaceae			
Course	ource: Original				

Source: Original.

Leguminous plants (Fabaceae) are more frequently chosen as host plants, maybe also due to the fact that they are capable of fixating atmospheric azote, thus being more nutritious [30].

Except for the preferred cultures of perennial leguminous fodders, cuscuta also parasitizes numerous vegetable plants [26], textile plants, industrial plants and ruderal species. Also, it can survive on wood essences, such as willow, acacia, poplar and blackberry [23]. In literature there is also reference to the parasitism of the species of pine and birch trees (*Pinus sylvestris* and *Betula pandula*) by *C. epithymum*, but only the trees' seedlings [19].

Cuscuta facilitates the transmission of certain viruses onto trifolieae, the viral chlorosis of the sugar beet, potato viruses but also diseases such as microplasmoses [5]; [21]; [8]; Credi,

Scientific Papers Series Management, Economic Engineering in Agriculture and Rural Development Vol. 18, Issue 4, 2018 PRINT ISSN 2284-7995, E-ISSN 2285-3952

1992; [14]; [22]. The most important types of ecosystems affected by cuscuta species are the pratological ecosystems, but a high number of other species also function as host plants for which influences dodders: fact the biodiversity of ecosystems, thus having repercussions upon human society and animal health. Agricultural crop losses are substantial, from both a quantitative and a qualitative point of view [11]; [31], the fatty acids in fodders representing an important parameter regarding their quality [31]; [15]; [16].

There have been relatively few studies on the impact of natural plant communities, but in general it goes without saving that biodiversity is reduced in those zones attacked by cuscuta [13]; [18]; [17]; [24]; [25]. Certainly, one needs to take into consideration the fact that most parasitic species have consequences agriculture, negative on influencing the output quantity, quality and price [27]; [29].

CONCLUSIONS

Cuscuta spp. are obligate holoparasitic anthophytes, dependent on suitable hosts for water and physical nutrients. support. Although the names of numerous species suggest that they would be specialized on certain host plants, according to personal observations [31], that are also confirmed by Meulebrouck, 2009 (for C. Epithymum), Cuscuta species are polyphagous (Table 3); and specialty literature indicates that a high number of cuscuta species can grow on a large variety of host plants, even if they prefer certain ones [1].

In Romania in recent years have multiplied considerably the number of hectares of non worked agricultural land. It has been multiplied by a huge number of annual and perennial weeds, producing significant damage to crops, due to the fact that they are a permanent source of weeds, but also a source of pests and diseases difficult to control.

Although it parasitizes a large number of plants [6], significant are mainly the damages done to perennial leguminous fodder crops: alfalfa, clover, sainfoin, fingers-and-thumbs,

as well as mixes of croplands and natural grasslands [3]; [12]. Due to its non-abidance to the rules of phytosanitary quarantine, dodders have been spreading on even more surfaces; the seed reserves in the ground thus increasing on a yearly basis. In addition to the damages caused to the cultures of perennial leguminous fodders, dodders can also be often found in grasslands and meadows [7]; [32], [28], having a negative impact on the decorative and touristic aspect of the landscape due to their invasion [4]; [2], Bardgett et al., 2006).

The most widespread of the 4 species of dodder identified are: *Cuscuta epithymum*, in 13 localities - Brasov county and 20 localities - Sibiu county, in the analyzed area.

According to the results of our research, there is no leguminous fodder that is not attacked by cuscuta clusters [31].

Also, we have noticed its presence on grasses, although according to the specialty literature on cuscuta, grasses are theoretically not parasitized by cuscuta.

However, during our field inspections on grasslands, cuscuta was detected on the following species, belonging to the Poaceae family: Agropyron repens, Briza media, Dactylis glomerata, Festuca pratensis, Festuca rubra, Festuca rupicola, but also on Triticum aestivum, as a ruderal plant [31]. we mention that many host plants of C are detected by us and are not quoted in the literature

REFERENCES

[1] Ameloot, E., Verheyen, K., Bakker, J. P., De Vries, Y., Hermy, M., 2006, Long-term dynamics of the hemiparasite Rhinanthus angustifolius and its relationship with vegetation structure. Journal of Vegetation Science 17 : 637 – 646.

[2] Anghel, Gh., et al., 1965, Studiul și cartarea pășunilor din corpul Crinț-Munții Cibinului, Comunicări de botanică, (The study and mapping of pastures from the Crint Body of the Cibin Mountains) București, III, 47-80.

[3] Ashigh Jamshid, Esther E. Marquez, 2010, Dodder (Cuscuta spp) Biology and Management, 2010, New Mexico State University and the U.S. Department of Agriculture Bardgett *et al.*, 2006.

[4] Barth, J., 1867, Sistematische Aufzäliung der im Grassen Kockelthale zwiscnen Mediasch und

Scientific Papers Series Management, Economic Engineering in Agriculture and Rural Development Vol. 18, Issue 4, 2018 PRINT ISSN 2284-7995, E-ISSN 2285-3952

Blasendorf wildwachsenden Pflanzen Verh. U. Mitteii. D. Siebenb. Verein f. Naturwiess. Z. Hermannstadt, XVIII, 64-70.

[5]Bennett, C.W., 1944, Studies of dodder transmission of plant viruses. Phytopathology 34: 905–932.

[6] Birschwilks, M., Sauer, N., Scheel, D., Neumann, S., 2007, Arabidopsis thaliana is a susceptible host plant for the holoparasite Cuscuta spec., Planta 226:1231–124M.

[7] Călugăreanu (Vancea), M.G., Cercetări privind evoluția stării fitosanitare a pajiștilor din zona Ghețari – Gârda de Sus, Munții Apuseni, Universitatea de Știinte Agricole și Medicină Veterinară Cluj-Napoca (Research on the evolution of the phyto-sanitary status of the pastures from Ghetari- Gârda de Sus area, the Apuseni Mounatins, Ph.D.Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine Cluj-Napoca).

[8] Carraro, L., Osler, R., Loi, N., Favali, M. A., 1991, Transmission characteristics of the clover phyllody agent by dodder. J. Phytopathol. 133: 15–22.

[9]Costea, M., 2007-onwards, Digital Atlas of *Cuscuta* (Convolvulaceae).Wilfrid Laurier University Herbarium, Ontario, Canada, https://specialprojects.wlu.ca/herbarium/digital-atlasof-cuscuta-convolvulaceae/: Accesed 10.08.2018

[10]Costea, M., Tardif, F. J., 2006, Biology of Canadian weeds. Cuscuta campestris Yuncker, C. gronovii Willd. ex Schult., C. umbrosa Beyr. ex Hook., C. epithymum (L.) L. and C. epilinum Weihe. Can. J. Pl. Sci. 86: 293-316

[11]Dragomir, N., 2005, *Cuscuta* un mare pericol pentru culturile de leguminoase perene din România, (*Cuscuta* a great danger for the perennial leguminous crops of Romania) Revista Ferma nr. 4(36)/2005. (http://www.revista-ferma.ro/articole-

gronomie/Cuscuta -un-mare-pericol-pentru-culturilede-leguminoase-perene-din-Romania.html)

[12]Durău, C., C., 2012, Ecological and Agronomical Analysis of Some Permanent Grasslands in the Banat Area, Bulletin UASVM Agriculture 69(2)/2012.

[13]Egger, G., 2001, Vegetationsdynamik und Struktur alpine Ökosysteme. Diskussionsbeitrag einer prozessorientierten Ökosystemdarstellung am Beispiel eines lawinares Urrasens im Nationalpark Hohe Tauern. - Wiss. Mitt mare Tauern National Park 6: 119-137.

[14]Ember, I., Zoltan, A., Munyaneza, J. E., Crosslin, J.M., Kolber, M., 2011, Survey and molecular detection of phytoplasmas associated with potato in Romania and southern Russia, Eur J Plant Pathol 130:367–377.

[15]Hărmănescu, M., 2012A, NIR Spectroscopy: A Non-destructive Method for PUFAs Determination of Forage from Permanent Grassland, Bulletin UASVM Agriculture 69(1)/2012, p. 285-289.

[16]Hărmănescu, M., 2012b, Comparative researches on two direct transmethylation without prior extraction methods for fatty acids analysis in vegetal matrix with low fat content, Chemistry Central Journal 6:8. [17] Jungmeier, M., Kirchmeir, H., Hecke, C., Kreiner, D., 2007, Lawinenrinnen als bedeutsame Sonderlebensraume im Nationalpark Gesäuse (Spinnentiere und Insekten). Tamischbachturm: Kalktal şi Scheibenbauernkar. Vorprojekt. – Unveroffentlichter Projektendbericht im Auftrag der Nationalpark Gesäuse GmbH: 50 p.

[18] Kulakowski, D., Rixen, C., Bebi, P., 2006, Changes in forest structure and in the relative importance of climatic stress as a result of suppression of avalanche disturbances. Modificările. – Forest Ecology and Management 223: 66-74.

[19]Meulebrouck, K., 2009, Distribution, demography and metapopulation dynamics of Cuscuta epithymum in managed heathland. Doctoral thesis for the Catholic University of Leuven, Belgium

[20]Parker, C., 2012, Parasitic Weeds: A World Challenge, Weed Science 60:269–276.

[21]Ploaie, P., 1973, Mycoplasma și bolile proliferative la plante, Editura Ceres, Bucuresti, p 1-178

[22]Přibylová, J., Špak, J., 2012, Dodder Transmission of Phytoplasmas, Series: Methods in Molecular Biology, Volume: 938, p 41-46.

[23] Qasem, J.R., 2011, Parasitic flowering plants of woody species in Jordan in European Journal of Plant Pathology.

[24] Rixen C., Dawes, M.A., Wipf, S., Hagedorn F., 2012, Evidence of enhanced freezing damage in treeline plants during six years of CO2 enrichment and soil warming. Oikos, 121, 1532-1543.

[25] Rixen, C., Haag, S., Kulakowski, D., Bebi, P., 2007, Natural avalanche disturbance shapes plant diversity and species composition in the subalpine forest belt.

[26]Rubiales, D., Fernández-Aparicio, M., 2012, Innovations in parasitic weeds management in legume crops. A review in Agronomy for Sustainable Development.

[27]Stancă-Moise, C., 2016, The structure of an entomofauna characteristic for a spontaneous meadow in Sibiel Village (Sibiu, Romania), Scientific Papers Series Management, Economic Engineering in Agriculture and rural development, Vol. 16(3), 315-319.

[28]Stancă-Moise, C., 2005, Ecological study about the evolution of the species *Papilio machaon machaon* L., 1758 (Lepidoptera, papilionidae) in ecosystem of the oak forest "Dumbrava Sibiului" and the importance of its protection. pp: 569-572. In: Jubilee Conference with international participation "Science. Processes and Technologies Agro-Food, 2005 Sibiu.

[29]Simtion, D., 2018, Specific methods applied within the strategy for sustainable development of agricultural exploitation, Scientific Papers Series "Management, Economic Engineering in Agriculture and rural development", Vol. 18(1): 455-458

[30]Svensson, B.M., Carlsson, B.A., 2004, Significance of time of attachment, host type, and neighbouring hemiparasites in determining fitness in two endangered grassland hemiparasites. Annales Botanici Fennici 41: 63-75.

Scientific Papers Series Management, Economic Engineering in Agriculture and Rural Development Vol. 18, Issue 4, 2018

PRINT ISSN 2284-7995, E-ISSN 2285-3952

[31]Tănase, M., Oprean, L., 2001, Research on spreading of Cuscuta L. (Convolvulaceae) in the county of Sibiu, Romania – Feddes Repertorium 112 (2001) 5-6, Berlin, octombrie 2001, p. 401-405

[32]Tănase, M., Neață, L., 2000, Cuscuta între pagube și utilizări, Conferința Internațională Altexim II, Universitatea "Lucian Blaga" din Sibiu (Cuscuta bettwen damages and uses, Altexim II International Conference, "Lucian Blaga" University, Sibiu), 17-18 Nov. 2000, p.141-144 (ISBN 937-651-157-X)

[33]Weeda, E.J., Westra, R., Westra, C., Westra, T., 1985, Nederlandse Oecologische Flora. Wilde planten en hun relaties. IVN, Amsterdam, pp. 115-118.

[34]Weeda, E. J., 2011, Maastricht in Plants and Habitats of European Cities.