EFFECTS OF DEMONSTRATION AND LECTURE METHODS OF TEACHING APICULTURE ON PERFORMANCE OF AGRIC STUDENTS IN ADAMAWA STATE UNIVERSITY, NIGERIA

Muhammad Rabi'u JA'AFAR-FURO¹, Yusuf ABDULLAHI², Buba Enoch BADGAL³

¹Adamawa State University, Department of Agricultural Economics and Extension, PMB 25, Mubi, Nigeria, Email: muhammadfuro@gmail.com

²Adamawa State Polytechnic, College of Engineering and Environmental Studies, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Yola, Nigeria, Email: yusuf.abdullahi22@yahoo.com

³Adamawa State Polytechnic, College of Science and Technology, Department of Pure Science, Yola, Nigeria, Email: Badejobr2003@yahoo.com

Corresponding author: muhammadfuro@gmail.com

Abstract

A study was conducted using two (2) sets of 400 levels students to determine the effects of a combined demonstration and lecture methods of teaching apiculture on one hand, and lecture method on another, on performance of learners in the Faculty of Agriculture, Adamawa State University (ADSU), Mubi, Nigeria. Data were collected by observation of students' scores, and personal verification of records/files to obtain information on age, gender and qualification at admission of both sets of students, whereas cost of instructional materials were determined through the Departmental Store Invoice (DSI). Descriptive statistics, computed cost components and correlation analyses were employed in the analyses of the data. Results revealed that while majority (52.00%) of the Conventional Students (CS) fell within the age range of 20-25 years, a larger proportion of the Sasakawa Students (SS) were within 31-35 years. Gender-wise, males accounted for the bulk of the students with 68.00% and 78.26% for CS and SS, respectively. In spite of the fact that Lecture Method (LM) had lower cost implication, it was found to be more efficient as a method of instruction among the students than a combined Demonstration and Lecture Methods (DLM). The male students slightly (0.456) performed better than their female (0.246) counterparts, with both coefficients significant at P < 0.05. Its concluded that the application of LM of instruction was slightly more efficient than a combined DLM among the agriculture students of ADSU. Also, the male students were found to perform slightly better than the females. While the DLM could be more appropriate at primary and secondary schools, the LM is being recommended at tertiary level based on the findings of this study.

Key words: apiculture, demonstration, lecture, methods, Mubi, Nigeria

INTRODUCTION

Scholars the world over have now recognized that there are better methods of learning than through the conventional ways of instruction [1]. Post-secondary institutions and specifically universities are beginning to realise the relevance of utilisation of appropriate methods through which students can learn [2]. Further, several methods of teaching have been proved to be relatively ineffective on students' ability to master and important concepts. then retain Some renowned researchers [3] noted that learning through some methods of teaching is passive rather than active. The applications of the traditional methods like lecture, memorizing, recitation, etc. do not seem to aid critical and

creative thinking, and collaborative problemsolving. Two imminent authors [4] reported that the challenge in teaching therefore, is to create experiences that involve the students and support their own thinking explanations, evaluations, communications and applications of scientific models needed to make sense of these experiences.

Several studies [4, 5, 6, and 7] have indicated that science subjects are more affected in this trend of development. Agriculture, which is purely science based, is more of practicallyoriented learning experiences than mere theories. For instance, it was reported [5] that in spite of the frantic efforts made by the government to enhance teaching of science/agricultural science syllabus, by employment of qualified graduate teachers, provision of facilities and prompt payment of salaries, among others, the recent students' results at external examinations show a decline in performance.

The choices of appropriate pedagogies for application then become imperative [8]. While lecture method of instruction largely involves telling as teacher-centered, the demonstration method of learning entails teaching through learning by doing in addition to telling. To further stress the relevance of participation of learners as a facilitating factor in concretising learning experiences, an educationist [9] observed that the demonstration method has been found to be extensively used in sciences, and by extension should be applied in teaching agricultural courses.

This investigation, a study of the effects of demonstration and lecture methods of teaching apiculture on performance of agricultural students in Adamawa State University, Mubi, Nigeria, is an attempt towards finding an appropriate method of aiding effective learning not only among agriculture students but science in general. However, specifically, it focused on determining the performance of students in apiculture study using demonstration and lecture methods of instructions, assessing the costs implication and efficiency in using these two methods of teaching apiculture, and determining the effect of gender difference on application of demonstration and lecture methods of teaching apiculture in the Faculty of Agriculture of the University.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sampling techniques and data collection

Two sets of 400 level students were purposely selected for the study. The students were put into three groups based on their performance referred to as Cumulative Grade Point Aggregate (CGPA). The stratification is as follows: First stratum = 1.5-2.0; second stratum = 2.1-3.4 and third stratum = 3.5 & above. The total number of the two sets of students was 48, comprising 25 and 23 of 400 levels of Conventional and Sasakawa students, respectively.

The Conventional group was taught using a combination of demonstration and lecture teaching methods, whereas the second group was instructed using lecture teaching method for comparison of learning outcomes.

Data were collected by observation of students' scores, and personal verification of records/files to obtain information on age, gender and qualification at admission of both sets of students, whereas cost of instructional materials were determined through the Departmental Store Invoice (DSI).

Instructional materials for the study

The instructional materials utilised for the experiment include the following:

- 1. Projector/PowerPoint
- 2. Notebook computer
- 3. Green laser pointer
- 4. Extension cord wire
- 5. Kenya top-bar beehive
- 6. Beehive stand
- 7. Plastic funnels
- 8. Beehive tool/knife
- 9. Bees suit (set)
- 10. A pair of hand gloves
- 11. A pair of rain boots
- 12. Binta Sudan/scent
- 13. Honeycomb/honey

The data collected were analysed using descriptive statistics (percentage, mean and frequency distribution), computation of cost components, and multiple correlation analysis. The latter was implicitly specified as:

 $Y = f(X_1; X_2; X_3; X_4; X5)$ Where:

Y = performance

- $X_1 = age of student$
- $X_2 =$ male student
- X_3 = female student
- $X_4 =$ learning method
- X_5 = level of education at admission

This was used to specifically analyse how the selected variables correlated with the performance of the students using the two methodologies

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Distribution of the selected variables of the students studied

The results in Table 1 show the distribution of the two sets of the students based on age. It could be observed that majority (52.00%) of the Conventional Students (CS) fell within the age range of 20-25 years. On the other hand, a larger proportion (30.44%) of the Sasakawa Students (SS) was between 31 and 35 years of age. The implication of this result is that while most of the CS were young persons, the larger chunk (69.66%) of the SS was elderly persons.

Table 1.Distribution of the undergraduate students based on age

| Item | Conventional | Sasakawa students | | |
|----------------|--------------|----------------------|--|--|
| | students | | | |
| Age range (yea | rs) | | | |
| 20 - 25 | 13 (52.00) | - | | |
| 26 - 30 | 06 (24.00) | - | | |
| 31 - 35 | 02 (8.00) | 07 (30.44) | | |
| 36 - 40 | 04 (16.00) | 04 (17.39) | | |
| 41 - 45 | - | 05 (21.74) | | |
| 46 - 50 | - | 04 (17.39) | | |
| 51 and above | - | 03 (13.04) | | |
| Total | 25 (100) | 23 (100) | | |
| | | | | |

Note: Figures in parentheses are percentage of total Source: Computed from field data (2012)

Gender wise, males accounted for the bulk of both sets of the students with 68.00% and 78.26% for CS and SS, respectively.

Female students recorded only 21.74% for SS and 38.00% for CS, implying that males were the majority of students in the sets.

This finding agreed with the reports of a governmental organisation [10] and a scholar [11] which stated that girl child enrolment in schools in the northern parts of the country and even sub-Saharan Africa at large is minimal compared to the male child counterpart. This result is shown in Table 2.

Table 2.Distribution of the students based on Gender or sex

| Item | conventional students | Sasakawa students |
|-----------|--------------------------|----------------------|
| Sex/Gende | r | |
| Male | 17 (68.00) | 18 (78.26) |
| Female | 08 (38.00) | 05 (21.74) |
| Total | 05 (100) | 23 (100) |

Note: Figures in parentheses show percentage of the total Source: Computed from field data (2012).

The distribution of the students' qualification at the time of admission is indicated in Table 3. The result shows that majority (52.00%) of the CS were admitted into the University with Senior Secondary Certificate of Education (SSCE) or West African Examination Council (WAEC) certificate, implying that they got into the university system immediately after their completion of secondary education through the Joint Admission and Matriculation Board (JAMB) examination.

Table 3.Distribution of the students according to qualification at admission into ADSU

| Item | | Conventional |
|------------------|------------|--------------|
| Sasakawa | | |
| | students | students |
| Qualification at | | |
| Admission | | |
| HND | - | 23 (100) |
| ND | 12 (48.00) | - |
| SSCE?WAEC | 13 (52.00) | - |
| Total | 25 (100) | 23 (100) |

Note: Figures in parentheses show percentage of the total

Source: Computed from field data (2012)

However, for the SS, the whole (100%) lot was admitted into the university with Higher National Diploma certificate, indicating that the students might have had experience of a tertiary level education system before getting into the present university system thereby broadening their educational horizon.

Efficiency can be said to be a function of time and resources, involved in achieving result(s). In other words, it entails how minimum these resources/inputs are utilised toward realising the stated goal(s). In this regard, the costs of instructional materials as inputs used in teaching these students are captured in Table 4.

The latter indicates that it costed about three hundred and sixty one thousand three hundred and fifty naira only (N361, 350) to procure instructional materials used in teaching the CS applying a combined demonstration and lecture method.

Also, a total amount of three hundred and forty seven thousand naira only (N347, 000) was used to acquire instructional materials in teaching the SS applying lecture method.

In an effort to properly find out whether differences in performance existed in using the two methods of instructions among the two categories of students studied, and also the efficiency, Table 5 was computed.

Scientific Papers Series Management, Economic Engineering in Agriculture and Rural Development Vol. 14, Issue 2, 2014 PRINT ISSN 2284-7995, E-ISSN 2285-3952

| Table 4 Cost | implication | ofusing | instructional |
|--------------|-------------|------------|---------------|
| 10010 1.0000 | mpneuton | i or using | monucional |

| Instructional | Unit cost | Qty 7 | Total cost | |
|-----------------------|-------------|--------|------------|--|
| materials | (₩) | | (₹) | |
| applied | | | | |
| 1.DLM (n:25) | | | | |
| Projector/PowerPoint | 250,000 | 1 | 250,000 | |
| Notebook Computer | 90,000 | 1 | 90,000 | |
| Green Laser Pointer | 4,000 | 1 | 4,000 | |
| Extension Cord Wire | 1,500 | 2 | 3,000 | |
| Kenya Top-bar Beehive | 6,000 | 1 | 6,000 | |
| Beehive Stand | 2,500 | 1 | 2,500 | |
| Plastic Funnel | 150 | 4 | 6,000 | |
| Beehive Tool/Knife | 250 | 1 | 250 | |
| Bee-suit (set) | 2,000 | 1 | 2,000 | |
| Hand Gloves | 300/pair | 1 pair | 300 | |
| Rain Boot | 1,800/pair | 1 pair | 1,800 | |
| Binta Sudan/scent | 200 | 2 bot | tles 400 | |
| Honeycomb/Honey | 100/100ml | 500ml | 500 | |
| Total | | | 361,350 | |
| 2.LM (n23) | | | | |
| Projector/PowerPoint | 250,000 | 1 | 250,000 | |
| Notebook Computer | 90,000 | 1 | 90,000 | |
| Green Laser Pointer | 4,000 | 1 | 4,000 | |
| Extension Cord Wire | 1,500 | 2 | 3,000 | |
| Total | | | 347,000 | |

Note: ₩160 = US\$1

Source: Computed from field data (2012)

As earlier stated, the Table 5 shows that while it costed N361, 350 to teach a total of 25 CS, a sum of N347, 000 was involved in teaching 23 SS. Therefore, the use of the combined methods of demonstration and lecture in teaching the students was slightly expensive than lecture method only.

Table 5.Assessment of efficiency of the two methods in determining performance of the two sets of the students

| Item | Cost | Number | Cummu- | Averag | |
|---|-----------------|--------------------|----------|----------|--|
| | implication | of | lative | -e score | |
| | of using a | students | score of | of | |
| | method | | students | students | |
| | (₦) | | | (%) | |
| 1.DLM | ₩361,350 | 25 | 1381 | 55.24 | |
| Cost c | of training per | - | | | |
| studer | t = 1381 x 2 | <u>25</u> = ₩6, 54 | 41.46 | | |
| | 361,350 | 1 | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 2.LM 1 | ₹347,000 | 23 | 1308 | 56.87 | |
| Cost of training per | | | | | |
| student = $1308 \times 23 = 16, 101.68$ | | | | | |
| 347,000 1 | | | | | |
| Difference in cost and performance | | | | | |
| of the students in the two methods = $\mathbb{N}439.78$ & | | | | | |
| - | 1.63% | | | | |

Note: ₩160 = US\$1

Source: Computed from field data (2012)

Extrapolating from the available data in Table 5, it could be said that it cost a total sum of six thousand five hundred and forty one naira

forty six kobo only (N6, 541.46) to instruct a student in apiculture using a combined demonstration and lecture methods, passing with a mean score of 55.24%. Similarly, it amount to a sum of six thousand one hundred and one naira sixty eight kobo only (N6, 101.68) to instruct a student in apiculture using lecture method, and at the end of assessment, having a mean score of 56.87%. While it cost higher (N439.78) to teach using a combination of demonstration and lecture methods, instruction with only lecture method yielded higher (1.63%) learning outcome among the agriculture undergraduate students of Adamawa State University, Mubi, Nigeria, contrary to apriori expectation.

The above result is similar to the findings of some authors [5] in which the educationists investigated the effects of programmed instruction and demonstration methods on students' academic performance in science in Esan West LGA of Edo State, Nigeria. The authors discovered that there was a significant difference in the academic achievement of the two groups of students Used. Those exposed to programmed instruction method achieved better than those exposed to demonstration method. Also, a famous author [12] made conclusion. that similar programmed instruction method is more effective than demonstration method in helping students gain understanding of concrete observable phenomenon.

Be that as it may, it is necessary to state that costs are incurred virtually in all facets of education ranging from acquisition of human resource, erection of buildings to procurement of instructional materials. However, the type of methodology applied in teaching determines the cost to be involved and to a greater extent the learning outcome.

The pooled correlation coefficient matrix of selected factors influencing performance among the two sets of the students is shown in Table 6. Although a factor, level of education at admission, has been added, gender as a variable is being split into male and female for the purpose of determining the effect of each sex in facilitating learning among the groups of learners.

These variables include age, male, female, learning method and level of education at admission. Of these five variables in Table 6, gender seemed to have slight influence.

Table 6.Pooled correlation coefficient matrix of selected factors influencing performance among the two categories of students

| | Y | X ₁ | X2 | X3 | X4 |
|--|--------|----------------|---------|-------|----|
| Age (X ₁) | 0.115 | | | | |
| Male (X ₂) | 0.456* | 0.312 | | | |
| Female (X ₃) | 0.246* | 0.188 | 0.241 | | |
| Learning Method (X4) | 0.092 | 0.913* | * 0.257 | 0.325 | |
| Level of | | | | | |
| $\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}$ | | | | | |
| at admission (A5) -0.040 -0.973 -0.115 -0.873 -0.576 | | | | | |

Note: * value is significant at P<0.05 **value is significant at P<0.01

Source: Computed from field data (2012)

Pooling from the results of descriptive statistics on gender of the students in Table 2, most (72.92%) of the learners were males with minority (27.08%) as females. Also, from the findings of the pooled correlation analysis in Table 6, the male gender had slight significance (0.456) in positively influencing learning outcomes than the female gender (0.246) which were both significant at P<0.05. This finding is in line with some famous investigators' report [13] that stated that at a probability of P<0.05, no statistically significant difference existed between the adjustive performance of male and female students. The male mean GPA was 68.4% and the female's counterpart was 67.8%. indicating that the latter was slightly higher with a value of 0.6%. But for one imminent author [14], his findings revealed trends of mixed results, where for instance, the females were at par with males in completed coursework, and the former surpassed the latter in mastery of content.

However, the finding of this study disagreed with a group of authors [15] who documented the effect of gender on performance of undergraduate dental students at the University of Jordan, Amman. The imminent authors reported that the Cumulative Gross Point Aggregates (CGPA) of the female graduated students were significantly higher than those of the male students.

Based on the aforementioned, it could be stated that although generally the male gender has an insignificant positive effect in enhancing the learning outcomes of students, there are also areas where the female gender surpass the male counterpart. Therefore, further in-depth investigation is required towards documenting appropriate findings for these differences for utilisation by the education world.

CONCLUSIONS

Its concluded that the application of LM of instruction was slightly more efficient than a combined DLM among the agriculture students of ADSU. Also, the male students were found to perform slightly better than the females.

While the DLM could be more appropriate at primary and secondary schools as being cited by several studies globally, the LM is being recommended at tertiary level based on the findings of this study.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to sincerely thank the management of the Adamawa State University, Mubi, Nigeria, for allowing the use of the institution's instructional materials for the study, and the students for their cooperation.

REFERENCES

[1] Achor, E. E.; Imoko, B. I. and Uloko, E. S. (2009). Effect of ethnomathematics teaching approach on senior secondary students' achievement and retention in locus. Education Research and Review. 4(8): 385-390

[2]Ajiboye, J. O. and Ajitoni, S. O. (2008). Effect of full and quasi-participatory learning strategies on Nigerian senior secondary students' environmental knowledge: Implication for classroom practice. International Journal of Environmental and Science Education. 3(2): 58-66

[3] Bello, T. O. (2011). Effect of group instructional strategy on students' performance in selected physics concepts. Journal of the African Educational Research Network. 71(11): 1-9

Scientific Papers Series Management, Economic Engineering in Agriculture and Rural Development Vol. 14, Issue 2, 2014

PRINT ISSN 2284-7995, E-ISSN 2285-3952

[4]Colbum, M. (2005). In: Uhumuavbi, P. O. and Mamudu, J. M., 2009. Relative effects of programmed instruction and demonstration methods on students' academic performance in science. *College Student Journal*. 1-13

[5]Daniel, O. I. and Bimbola, O. (2010). Effect of constructivist-based teaching strategy on academic performance of students in integrated science at junior secondary school level. *Educational Research and Reviews.* 5(7): 347-353

[6] Mbanefoh, N. (1994). Access of girls to primary and basic education in Nigeria. Published by the UNESCO Regional office in Dakar.

[7]National Primary Education Commission, NPEC/Special Programme Unit, SPU (1991). Monitoring and evaluation report on primary education in Nigeria, federal ministry of education, Kaduna.

[8] Olatoye, R. A.; Aderogba, A. A. and Aanu, E. M. (2011). Effects of co-operative and individualized teaching methods on senior secondary school students' achievement in organic chemistry. The Pacific Journal of Science and Technology. 12(2): 310-319.

[9] Rampacher, A. and Peterson, C. (1999). Effects of gender and age on adjustive technique class performance. The Journal of Chiropractic Education. 13(2): 114-130

[10] Sawair, F. A.; Baqain, Z. H.; Al-Omari, I. K.; Wahab, F. K. and Rajab, L. D. (2009). Effect of gender on performance of undergraduate dental students at the University of Jordan, Amman. Journal of Dental Education. 73(11): 1313-1319

[11]Tretter, T. R. (2003). Gifted students speak: mathematics problem-solving insights. Gifted Child Today, 26(3), 22-33.

[12] Uhumuavbi, P. O. and Mamudu, J. M. (2009). Relative effects of programmed instruction and demonstration methods on students' academic performance in science. College Student Journal. 1-13

[13] Wood, W. B. and Gentle, J. M. (2003). Teaching in a research context. Science. 302-1510.

[14] Zimrot, R. and Guy, A. (2007). Interactive lecture demonstrations: A tool for exploring and enhancing conceptual change. Chemistry Education Research and Practice. 8(2): 197-211