AWARENESS ON THE USE OF INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGIES (ICTs) AMONG AGRICULTURAL EXTENSION AGENTS IN NORTH - EASTERN NIGERIA

Benjamin DIRE, J. I. ONU, A. A. U. JUNGUR, A. A. NDAGHU, D. Y. GIROH

Modibbo Adama University of Technology, School of Agriculture and Agricultural Technology, Department of Agricultural Economics and Extension, Yola. Adamawa State, Nigeria, Email: benjamindire@yahoo.com

Corresponding author: benjamindire@yahoo.com

Abstract

The study assessed the awareness on the use of ICTs by agricultural extension agents in North east, Nigeria. The specific objectives include describe the socio-economic characteristics of the agricultural extension agents and ascertain agricultural extension agents' awareness of ICTs use in their works Primary data was used for the study and were collected through the administration of questionnaires. A multistage-stage random sampling technique was employed to select 254 agricultural extension agents from north eastern Nigeria proportionately using Taro Yamane's formula. Data collected were analyzed using descriptive. The results of the analysis revealed that majority of agricultural extension agents were between the ages of 41 - 50 years and non below the age of 20 years. A large proportion of the agricultural extension agents about 70% were male with only 30.71% female. Majority (91.34%) were married all (100%) of the agricultural extension agents in the study area had one form of formal education or the other with 45.7% and 1.57% of them had Degree/Higher National Diploma (HND) and Masters Degrees respective. Majority (77%) of the agricultural extension agents have been in service above 21 years with a mean working experience of 6.5 years was. 61.42% had grade levels of between 10 and 15 with 67.71% had an estimated average annual income of between N20, 000.00 – N 60, 000.00 only. All (100%) of agricultural extension agents were aware in the use of one form of ICTs or another in performance of their duties. As a matter of policy, all agricultural scientists and extension agents must possess proven skills in the utilization of ICT facilities like computer and Internet.

Key words: agricultural extension agents, awareness, information and communication technologies

INTRODUCTION

Agricultural Extension is the most important public service with the widest range of responsibilities for agricultural and rural development (Adeyanju et al., (2015) [1]. Therefore, availability of agricultural information among its users for agricultural and rural development cannot be over emphasized. Agricultural information creates awareness among farmers about agricultural technologies for adoption which is needed for overall development of agriculture and for the improvement of living standard of farmers (Bello et al., 2012) [6].

However, according to Ozowa, (1999) cited in Uganneya *et al.* (2012) [17] the extents to which information services actually satisfy users and contribute to agricultural development are subject of controversy and

debate. This is so because the diffusion and adoption of any given information to a large extent depends on the means through which the information is disseminated and invariably the perception, knowledge and understanding of the users on the channels through which the information is disseminated. Adequate awareness on any given innovation or technology is a key to the success in adoption and utilization of the technology. With regards to this, Ekumankma et al., (2002) [8] in Agwu et al (2009) [4] note that poor awareness or exposure of farmers to appropriate agricultural information and channels of communicating this information is one of the major reasons for low yield recorded by many Nigerian farmers as well as performance of agricultural extension agents their duties. Therefore, for human in performance to be effective and efficient some

Scientific Papers Series Management, Economic Engineering in Agriculture and Rural Development Vol. 16, Issue 1, 2016 PRINT ISSN 2284-7995, E-ISSN 2285-3952

knowledge is needed on how, why and when certain things have to be done. The provision or availability of such knowledge is as important as its application to daily life. Agricultural extension agents as well as farmers need to get aware of the necessary information in order to improve methods in productivity activities. increase and performance. However, awareness to effective channels of acquiring information can improve and enable individuals in knowledge which would also enable them to confront their predicaments. Agriculture being a discipline requires the awareness and access for information that its users would adopt in anticipation of the improvement in its various activities. Kwadwo et al., (2012) reported that "the advent of ICTs namely personal computers, the internet and mobile telephone during the last two decades has provided a much wider choice in collection, storage, processing, transmission and presentation of information in multiple formats to meet the diverse requirement and skills of people". Similarly, Durojaive et al. (2013) [7] reported that, the awareness creation and availability of an ICT-enabled agriculture centre (IAC) in villages (e.g. adopted villages) in Nigeria by NAERLS using internet, made farmers to be more informed on the development made through the utilization of the internet and other related ICT facilities in in the country. Consequently, Seepersad (2003) [16] reported that cell phones are fairly common among extension employees in Trinidad and Tobago, but added that cell phones have not been used in an organized way by agricultural organizations. However Agwu et al. (2008) [5] cited in Mabe et al., (2012) [12] that, agricultural extension agents in Enugu State, Nigeria had high level of awareness of the major ICT tools. This finding is similar to Adesope et al. (2007) [3] who noted that in the Niger Delta area of Nigeria, about 98 percent of the extension agents in the region indicated were aware of information thev communication technologies. This means that most of the agricultural extension agents in the southeast and south- south of the country are aware of information technologies especially as they concern Agricultural Extension work but In North-East Nigeria however, little of such work was conducted.. It is in this regards that this study is intended to fill this gap and answer the following research questions:(i)What are the socioeconomic characteristics of the agricultural extension agents? (ii)Are the agricultural extension agents aware of use of ICTs in their activities?

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The Study Area

The study was conducted in North-Eastern Nigeria. This comprises of six states namely; Adamawa, Bauchi, Borno, Gombe, Taraba and Yobe states respectively. The area is located between latitude 6° 20" to 13° 00" from the north and longitude 9° 00" to 14° 00" east of the Greenwich Meridian. The area has an annual rainfall of between 700 mm and 1550 mm and has between three and six months of rainfall a year, with August and September as the wettest months, while the driest months are February and March with relative humidity of about 13 percent (Adebayo and Umar, 1999) [2].

Sources of Data

Primary data was used for the study. These were collected through the administration of questionnaires to sampled agricultural extension agents in the study area.

Sampling Procedure and Sample Size

Multistage-stage random sampling technique was employed to select respondents for the study thus:

Stage I:Three out of the six states in the region were purposely selected for the study.

Stage II:All the agricultural extension agents in the three states were eligible to be included in the sample for study. A list was obtained from the headquarters of the various state ADPs. In all, a total of 323 agricultural extension agents from the three states served as the sample frame (Table 1).

Stage III: A total of 254 agricultural extension agents which served as the sample size was proportionately selected from the sample frame using Taro Yamane's formula as adopted from Kalpana (2011) [10] and used by Usman (2014) [18]. The formula is given by the formula:

 $n - \frac{1}{1 + N(e)^2}$ where,

n = Number of respondents N = Population Size e = Error (5%)

Table 1. Distribution and Selection of Respondents	
--	--

S/No	o State No. of		No. of	
		AEAs	AEAs	
			Sampled	
1.	Adamawa	123	94	
2.	Gombe	106	84	
3.	Taraba	94	76	
	Total	323	254	
Source: Field Survey 2015				

Source: Field Survey, 2015

Analytical Tool

Descriptive was employed in the analysis of data. The descriptive statistics involved the use of mean, frequency distribution and percentage.

Mean: For grouped data,

 $\ddot{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{\sum f X \mathbf{1}}{N}$

where: $\ddot{\mathbf{x}}$ = mean $\sum FX1$ =sum of variance N=sample size

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Socio-economic characteristics

The socio-economic characteristics of respondents studied include, age, gender, marital status, educational status and duration in service. Estimated income and grade level of the respondents was also considered (Table 2).

Age of the respondents

Age according to Ndahgu (2011) [13] is an important factor in the study of individuals' use or non-use of ICTs because it reflects the physical strength to perform a task and the psychological disposition for imbibing behavioural change or otherwise. The results shows that, majority of the agricultural extension agents were between the ages of 41 – 50 years and non below the age of 20 years. About 1.2% of the agricultural extension agents were between the ages of 20 - 30 years, 7.5% were within the age range of 31 - 50

40 years while 7.1% were above 60 years of age (Table 2). The results is in line with the
(1) findings of Kehinde *et al.*, (2015) [11], who reported that, none of the agricultural extension agents is below the age of 20 and majority (41 - 50 years) constituting the active work force in study conducted on the training needs assessment on the use of social media among extension agents in Oyo state, Nigeria. Age may influence the use of ICTs because older persons including agricultural extension agents especially those living in rural areas may have the tendency of adhering to their already practiced old methods.

Gender of the respondents

Gender is the society's constructions of men and women. According to World Bank (2011) [20] it is the socio-cultural differences or ascribed roles between men and women in a given society. The results of gender in Table 2 reveals that, majority (69.69%) of the composition of agricultural extension agents in the study area consist of male with about 30.31% female. The results is in consonance with the findings of Purnomo et al. (2010) [15] in their study on the assessment of readiness and barriers towards ICT programme implementation: perceptions of agricultural extension officers in Indonesia Kehinde et al. (2015) [11] further noted that, the dominance of agricultural extension service work by male gender is not good for gender equality in extension services.

Marital status of the respondents

Marriage is a respected institution; it bestows on people social status, recognition and makes persons to be considered responsible (Ahmed, 2000). Marital status of agricultural extension agents in Table 2 indicates that, majority (91.34%) of agricultural extension agents were married, 5.51% single and 3.15% widowed. This findings lends credence to the works of Yakubu, et al., (2013) in their study on use of information and communication technologies among extension agents in Kano state, Nigeria who reported that the entire (100%) agricultural extension agents in the area were married implying that most of them are responsible and can be respected, trusted and be committed to their duties especially on the genueity of the information they would

Scientific Papers Series Management, Economic Engineering in Agriculture and Rural Development Vol. 16, Issue 1, 2016 PRINT ISSN 2284-7995, E-ISSN 2285-3952

provide on the course of their extension service provision.

Educational status of the respondents

Education has been identified as a catalyst in agricultural and other productive activities this is because it a variable that broadens the mental horizon, influences the totality of the mind and predisposes individuals to new ideas (Ndaghu, 2011) [13]. Adequate education therefore could enhance agricultural extension agents' understanding of use of ICTs and information sources of on improved innovation for agricultural practises. Table 2 indicates that majority about 45.7% and 1.57% constituting 47.27% of agricultural extension agents had Degree/Higher National Diploma (HND) and Masters Degrees respectively. The finding of this study is in line with that of Strong et al. (2014) in their study on exploring the use of information communication technologies by selected Caribbean extension officers, they reported that education levels of extension officers played a part in technology acceptance.

Duration of service of the respondents

Duration in service is the length of time measured in years that an individual had been in a particular profession or related activity that lead to his/her increase in knowledge or skill. In other words, it is the active involvement of an individual in an activity or exposure to events or people over a period of time that leads to an increase in knowledge or skill. Duration in service of agricultural extension agents in years is presented in Table 2. It shows that, majority (76.8%) of the agricultural extension agents have been in service above 21 years with mean years of 6.5 years. About 1.96% falls between the age range of 0 and 5 years, 4.7% between the age range of 6 and 10, 9.44% between the age range of 11 and 15 while those between the age bracket of 16 and 18 had 7.1%. Idrisa et al. (2013) in their study on use of information and communication technology (ICT) among extension workers in Borno state, Nigeria who majority agricultural reported that of extension agents in the area had above 20 years' experience in service therefore such years of experience could enable the extension agent to save enough money to purchase the 132

ICT facilities for their own personal use and also to enhance their performance in their duties.

Variable Frequency Percentage Age (in years) 20 - 30 3 1.2 $20 - 30$ 21 84.2 $31 - 40$ 19 7.5 $41 - 50$ 214 84.2 $51 - 60$ 0 0.0 60 Above 18 7.1 Total 254 100 Mean 27 Gender Male 177 69.69 Female 77 30.31 Total 254 100 Married 232 91.34 Widow 8 3.15 Divorce 0 0.0 Total 254 100 Educational Status 9 Status 9 13.3 Education Secondary 4 1.57 Education Secondary 4 1.57 Status 9 37.8 100 Dergree/HND 116 45.7 M.Sc.	Table 2. Socio -economic characteristics of respondents			
20 - 30 3 1.2 $31 - 40$ 19 7.5 $41 - 50$ 214 84.2 $51 - 60$ 0 0.0 60 Above 18 7.1 Total 254 100 Mean 27 Gender Male 177 69.69 Female 77 30.31 Total 254 100 Marital Status Single 14 5.51 Married 232 91.34 Widow 8 3.15 Divorce Divorce 0 0.0 Total 254 100 Educational Status Primary 8 3.13 Education Secondary 4 1.57 Education Certificate 26 10.23 Diploma 96 37.8 Degree/HND 116 45.7 M.Sc. 4 1.57 Total 254 100 Duration in Service (Years) 0 5 1.96	Variable	Frequency	Percentage	
20 - 30 3 1.2 $31 - 40$ 19 7.5 $41 - 50$ 214 84.2 $51 - 60$ 0 0.0 60 Above 18 7.1 Total 254 100 Mean 27 Gender Male 177 69.69 Female 77 30.31 Total 254 100 Marital Status Single 14 5.51 Married 232 91.34 Widow 8 3.15 Divorce Divorce 0 0.0 Total 254 100 Educational Status Primary 8 3.13 Education Secondary 4 1.57 Education Certificate 26 10.23 Diploma 96 37.8 Degree/HND 116 45.7 M.Sc. 4 1.57 Total 254 100 Duration in Secondary 4 1.57 Total 254 100	Age (in years)			
41 - 50 214 84.2 $51 - 60$ 0 0.0 60 Above 18 7.1 Total 254 100 Mean 27 Gender Male 177 69.69 Female 77 30.31 Total 254 100 Marital Status Single 14 5.51 Married 232 91.34 Widow 8 3.15 Divorce 0 0.0 Total 254 100 Educational Status Primary 8 3.13 Education Scondary 4 1.57 Education Secondary 4 1.57 Education Scondary 4 1.57 Diploma 96 37.8 Degree/HND 116 45.7 M.Sc. 4 1.57 70.6 $6-10$ 12 4.7 $11-15$ 24 9.44 $16-20$ 18 7.1 $2-5$		3	1.2	
51-60 0 0.0 60 Above 18 7.1 Total 254 100 Mean 27 9 Gender 7 30.31 Total 254 100 Marital Status 5 100 Married 232 91.34 Widow 8 3.15 Divorce 0 0.0 Total 254 100 Educational 254 100 Educational 254 100 Educational 254 100 Educational 254 100 Education 254 100 Secondary 4 1.57 Education 26 10.23 Diploma 96 37.8 Degree/HND 116 45.7 M.Sc. 4 1.57 Total 254 100 Duration in 7.1 O-5 5 1.96 6-10 12 4.7 11-15	31 - 40	19	7.5	
51-60 0 0.0 60 Above 18 7.1 Total 254 100 Mean 27 Gender Male 177 69.69 Female 77 30.31 Total 254 100 Marital Status Single 14 Single 14 5.51 Married 232 91.34 Widow 8 3.15 Divorce 0 0.0 Total 254 100 Educational Status Primary Status Primary 8 3.13 Education Secondary 4 1.57 Education Certificate 26 10.23 Diploma 96 37.8 Degree/HND Degree/HND 116 45.7 M.Sc. 4 1.57 Total 254 100 Duration in Service (Years) O 0 - 5 5 1.96 6 - 10 12<	41 - 50		84.2	
60 Above 18 7.1 Total 254 100 Mean 27 Gender	51 - 60	0		
Total 254 100 Mean 27 Gender		18		
Mean 27 Gender				
Gender $(Male)$ 177 69.69 Female 77 30.31 Total 254 100 Marital Status $(Sighe)$ 14 5.51 Married 232 91.34 Widow 8 3.15 Divorce 0 0.0 Total 254 100 Educational Status $(Finary)$ 8 3.13 Education 264 10.0 100 Education $(Secondary)$ 4 1.57 Education 264 10.23 100 Secondary 4 1.57 1.57 Education 264 10.0 100 Degree/HND 116 45.7 M.Sc. 4 1.57 1.57 Total 254 100 100 Duration in Service (Years) $(Years)$ $(Years)$ $0-5$ 5 1.96 6.5 1.96 Gende Level 3-5 17 6.7 6.7 3-5 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>100</td></td<>			100	
Male 177 69.69 Female 77 30.31 Total 254 100 Marital Status 30.31 Single 14 5.51 Married 232 91.34 Widow 8 3.15 Divorce 0 0.0 Total 254 100 Educational 5 Status 9 Primary 8 3.13 Education 254 100 Education 254 100 Education 266 10.23 Diploma 96 37.8 Degree/HND 116 45.7 M.Sc. 4 1.57 Total 254 100 DurationinService (Years) 0 $0-5$ 5 1.96 $6-10$ 12 4.7 $11-15$ 24 9.44 $16-20$ 18 7.1 21 Above 195 76.8 Total 254 100 Mean 6.5 Grade Level $3-5$ 1.7 $3-5$ 17 6.7 $6-10$ 76 29.92 $11-15$ 156 61.42 16 Above 5 1.96 Total 254 100 Income (N) 2000 87 $2000 - 40000$ 87 34.25 $41000 - 60000$ 85 33.46 $61000 - 80000$ 51 20.08 $81000 - 100000$ 10 3.94 10		27		
Female77 30.31 Total 254 100 Marital Status		177	69 69	
Total254100Marital Status				
Marital StatusSingle14 5.51 Married232 91.34 Widow8 3.15 Divorce0 0.0 Total 254 100 Educational $Status$ $Status$ Primary8 3.13 Education $Secondary$ 4Secondary4 1.57 Education $Certificate$ 26 0.23 Diploma 96 37.8 Degree/HND 116 45.7 $M.Sc.$ 4 $M.Sc.$ 4 1.57 Total 254 100 Durationin $Service (Years)$ $0-5$ 5 1.96 $6-10$ 12 4.7 $11-15$ 24 9.44 $16-20$ 18 7.1 21 Above 195 76.8 Total 254 100 Mean 6.5 0.92 $11-15$ 156 61.42 16 Above 5 1.96 Total 254 100 Mean 6.5 0.92 $11-15$ 156 61.42 16 Above 5 1.96 Total 254 100 Income (N) 0.008 $20000 + 40000$ 87 34.25 $41000 - 60000$ 85 33.46 $61000 - 80000$ 51 20.08 $81000 - 100000$ 10 3.94 101000 and 21 8.27				
Single145.51Married23291.34Widow83.15Divorce00.0Total254100Educational \mathbf{Status} Primary83.13Education $\mathbf{Scondary}$ 41.57EducationCertificate2610.23Diploma9637.8Degree/HND11645.7M.Sc.41.57Total254100DurationinService (Years)00-551.966-10124.711-15249.4416-20187.121 Above19576.8Total254100Mean6.5Grade Level33-5176.76-107629.9211-1515661.4216 Above51.96Total254100Mean6.5Grade Level32000 - 400008734.2541000 - 600008533.4661000 - 800005120.0881000 - 100000103.94101000and 218.27Above51.96		2.54	100	
Married23291.34Widow83.15Divorce00.0Total254100EducationalStatusPrimary83.13EducationSecondary4Secondary41.57EducationCertificate26Certificate2610.23Diploma9637.8Degree/HND11645.7M.Sc.41.57Total254100DurationinService (Years)00 - 551.966 - 10124.711 - 15249.4416 - 20187.121 Above19576.8Total254100Mean6.56.1.42Grade Level3-53 - 5176.76 - 107629.9211 - 1515661.4216 Above51.96Total254100Income (N)200040002000 - 400008734.2541000 - 600008533.4661000 - 800005120.0881000 - 100000103.94101000and218.27Above51.96		14	5 51	
Widow8 3.15 Divorce00.0Total 254 100Educational 3.13 Status $-$ Primary8 3.13 Education $-$ Secondary4 1.57 Education $-$ Certificate26 10.23 Diploma96 37.8 Degree/HND116 45.7 M.Sc.4 1.57 Total 254 100DurationinService (Years) -5 $0-5$ 5 1.96 $6-10$ 12 4.7 $11-15$ 24 9.44 $16-20$ 18 7.1 21 Above195 76.8 Total254100Mean 6.5 61.42 16 Above5 1.96 Total 254 100Mean 6.5 1.96 Total 254 100Mean 5 1.96 Total 254 100Income (N) $2000 - 40000$ 87 $20000 - 40000$ 87 34.25 $41000 - 60000$ 85 33.46 $61000 - 80000$ 51 20.08 $81000 - 100000$ 10 3.94 101000 and 21 8.27 Above $ -$				
Divorce00.0Total254100EducationalStatusPrimary83.13EducationSecondary41.57EducationCertificate2610.23Diploma9637.8Degree/HND11645.7M.Sc.41.57Total254100Duration inService (Years) $0-5$ 51.96 $6-10$ 124.7 $11-15$ 249.44 $16-20$ 187.1 21 Above19576.8Total254100Mean6.5Grade Level $3-5$ 176.7 $6-10$ 7629.92 $11-15$ 15661.42 16 Above51.96Total254100Mean6.51.96Total254100Mean51.96Total254100Mean6.51.96Total254100Move51.96Total254100Income (N)2000 - 4000087 $2000 - 40000$ 8734.25 $41000 - 60000$ 8533.46 $61000 - 80000$ 5120.08 $81000 - 100000$ 103.94 101000 and 218.27Above51.96				
Total 254 100 Educational $Status$ Primary8 3.13 Education $Secondary$ 4 1.57 Education $Certificate$ 26 10.23 Diploma96 37.8 Degree/HND116 45.7 M.Sc.4 1.57 Total 254 100 Duration in $Service$ (Years) $0-5$ 5 1.96 $6-10$ 12 4.7 $11-15$ 24 9.44 $16-20$ 18 7.1 21 Above195 76.8 Total254 100 Mean 6.5 $Grade$ Level $3-5$ 17 6.7 $6-10$ 76 29.92 $11-15$ 156 61.42 16 Above5 1.96 Total 254 100 Mean 6.5 $Grade$ Level $3-5$ 17 6.7 $6-10$ 76 29.92 $11-15$ 156 61.42 16 Above5 1.96 Total 254 100 Income (N) 20.00 87 $20000 - 40000$ 87 34.25 $41000 - 60000$ 85 33.46 $61000 - 80000$ 51 20.08 $81000 - 100000$ 10 3.94 101000 and 21 8.27 Above 5 1.96				
EducationalStatusPrimary8AllPrimary8Secondary41.57EducationCertificate2610.23Diploma9637.8Degree/HND11645.7M.Sc.41.57Total254100Duration inService (Years) $0-5$ 51.966-10124.711-15249.4416-20187.121 Above19576.8Total254100Mean6.5Grade Level $3-5$ 176.76-107629.9211-1515661.4216 Above51.96Total25420000 - 40000873.4.2541000 - 600008533.4661000 - 800005120.0881000 - 100000103.94101000and218.27Above				
Status $Primary$ 83.13EducationSecondary41.57EducationCertificate2610.23Diploma9637.8Degree/HND11645.7M.Sc.41.57Total254100Duration inService (Years) $0-5$ 51.96 $6-10$ 124.7 $11-15$ 249.44 $16-20$ 187.121 Above19576.8Total254100Mean6.5Grade Level $3-5$ 176.7 $6-10$ 7629.92 $11-15$ 15661.42 16 Above51.96Total254100Mean6.51.96Total254100Mean6.53.46Grade Level31.96Total254100Income (N)20000 - 400008720000 - 400008533.4661000 - 800005120.0881000 - 100000103.94101000and218.27Above51.96		254	100	
Primary8 3.13 EducationSecondary4 1.57 EducationCertificate26 10.23 Diploma96 37.8 Degree/HND116 45.7 M.Sc.4 1.57 Total254 100 Duration inService (Years) $0-5$ 5 1.96 $6-10$ 12 4.7 $11-15$ 24 9.44 $16-20$ 18 7.1 21 Above195 76.8 Total254 100 Mean 6.5 $Grade$ Level $3-5$ 17 6.7 $6-10$ 76 29.92 $11-15$ 156 61.42 16 Above5 1.96 Total 254 100 Mean 6.5 1.96 Total 254 100 Income (N) $20000 - 40000$ 87 $20000 - 40000$ 85 33.46 $61000 - 80000$ 51 20.08 $81000 - 100000$ 10 3.94 101000 and 21 8.27 Above 8000 8000 8000				
Education4 1.57 EducationCertificate26 10.23 Diploma96 37.8 Degree/HND116 45.7 M.Sc.4 1.57 Total254 100 Duration inService (Years) $0-5$ 5 1.96 $6-10$ 12 4.7 $11-15$ 24 9.44 $16-20$ 18 7.1 21 Above195 76.8 Total254 100 Mean 6.5 Grade Level $3-5$ 17 6.7 $6-10$ 7629.92 $11-15$ 156 61.42 16 Above5 1.96 Total254 100 Mean 6.5 Grade Level $3-5$ 17 6.7 $6-10$ 7629.92 $11-15$ 156 61.42 16 Above5 1.96 Total254 100 Income (N) $20000 - 40000$ $20000 - 40000$ 87 34.25 $41000 - 60000$ 85 33.46 $61000 - 80000$ 51 20.08 $81000 - 100000$ 10 3.94 101000 and 21 8.27 Above 8000 51 8.7		_		
Secondary4 1.57 EducationCertificate26 10.23 Diploma96 37.8 Degree/HND 116 45.7 M.Sc.4 1.57 Total 254 100 Duration inService (Years) $0-5$ 5 1.96 $6-10$ 12 4.7 $11-15$ 24 9.44 $16-20$ 18 7.1 21 Above195 76.8 Total 254 100 Mean 6.5 61.42 $3-5$ 17 6.7 $6-10$ 76 29.92 $11-15$ 156 61.42 16 Above5 1.96 Total 254 100 Mean 6.5 1.96 Total 254 100 Mean 6.5 1.96 Total 254 100 Income (N) $20000 - 40000$ 87 $20000 - 40000$ 85 33.46 $61000 - 80000$ 51 20.08 $81000 - 100000$ 10 3.94 101000 and 21 8.27 Above 5 8.7	•	8	3.13	
EducationInterviewCertificate26 10.23 Diploma96 37.8 Degree/HND116 45.7 M.Sc.4 1.57 Total254 100 Duration inService (Years) $0-5$ 5 1.96 $6-10$ 12 4.7 $11-15$ 24 9.44 $16-20$ 18 7.1 21 Above195 76.8 Total254 100 Mean 6.5 Grade Level $3-5$ 1.7 $3-5$ 17 6.7 $6-10$ 76 29.92 $11-15$ 156 61.42 16 Above5 1.96 Total 254 100 Income (N) $20000 - 40000$ 87 $20000 - 40000$ 85 33.46 $61000 - 80000$ 51 20.08 $81000 - 100000$ 10 3.94 101000 and 21 8.27 Above				
Certificate2610.23Diploma96 37.8 Degree/HND116 45.7 M.Sc.4 1.57 Total254100Duration inService (Years) $0-5$ 5 1.96 $6-10$ 12 4.7 $11-15$ 249.44 $16-20$ 18 7.1 21 Above19576.8Total254100Mean 6.5 $6-10$ $3-5$ 17 6.7 $6-10$ 7629.92 $11-15$ 156 61.42 16 Above5 1.96 Total254100Income (N) $2000 - 40000$ 20000 - 4000087 34.25 $41000 - 60000$ 5120.08 $81000 - 100000$ 10 3.94 101000 and21 8.27 Above 5 1.96	•	4	1.57	
Diploma96 37.8 Degree/HND116 45.7 M.Sc.4 1.57 Total 254 100Duration inService (Years) $0-5$ 5 1.96 $6-10$ 12 4.7 $11-15$ 24 9.44 $16-20$ 18 7.1 21 Above195 76.8 Total254100Mean 6.5 $Grade Level$ $3-5$ 17 6.7 $6-10$ 7629.92 $11-15$ 156 61.42 16 Above5 1.96 Total254100Income (N) $2000 - 40000$ 87 $20000 - 40000$ 85 33.46 $61000 - 80000$ 51 20.08 $81000 - 100000$ 10 3.94 101000 and 21 8.27 Above 5 8.27				
Degree/HND11645.7M.Sc.41.57Total254100Duration inService (Years) $0-5$ 51.96 $6-10$ 124.7 $11-15$ 249.44 $16-20$ 187.121 Above19576.8Total254100Mean6.56-10Grade Level3-5 $3-5$ 176.7 $6-10$ 7629.92 $11-15$ 15661.4216 Above51.96Total254100Income (N)20000 - 400008720000 - 400008533.4661000 - 800005120.0881000 - 100000103.94101000and218.27Above51.96	Certificate	26	10.23	
M.Sc.4 1.57 Total254100Duration in 254 100Duration in 360 360 $0-5$ 51.96 $6-10$ 124.7 $11-15$ 249.44 $16-20$ 187.121 Above19576.8Total254100Mean6.5 $6-10$ $3-5$ 17 6.7 $6-10$ 7629.92 $11-15$ 156 61.42 16 Above51.96Total254100Income (N) $20000 - 40000$ 87 $20000 - 40000$ 85 33.46 $61000 - 80000$ 5120.08 $81000 - 100000$ 10 3.94 101000 and21 8.27 Above 8000 8000 8000	Diploma	96	37.8	
Total254100DurationinService (Years) $0-5$ 51.96 $0-5$ 51.96 $6-10$ 124.7 $11-15$ 249.44 $16-20$ 187.121 Above19576.8Total254100Mean6.5Grade Level $3-5$ 176.7 $6-10$ 7629.92 $11-15$ 15661.4216 Above51.96Total254100Income (N)20000 - 4000087 $20000 - 40000$ 5120.08 $81000 - 100000$ 103.94101000and218.27Above51.96	Degree/HND	116	45.7	
DurationinService (Years) $0-5$ 5 $0-5$ 5 $0-10$ 12 4.7 $11-15$ 24 9.44 $16-20$ 18 7.1 21 Above195 76.8 Total254 254 100Mean6.5Grade Level $3-5$ 17 $6-10$ 76 29.92 $11-15$ 156 61.42 16 Above5 5 1.96Total254 $20000 - 40000$ 87 34.25 $41000 - 60000$ 51 20.08 $81000 - 100000$ 10 3.94 101000 and 21 8.27 Above	M.Sc.	4	1.57	
Service (Years) $0-5$ 51.96 $6-10$ 124.7 $11-15$ 249.44 $16-20$ 187.121 Above19576.8Total254100Mean6.5Grade Level $3-5$ 17 $3-5$ 176.7 $6-10$ 7629.92 $11-15$ 15661.4216 Above51.96Total254100Income (N) $20000 - 40000$ 87 $20000 - 40000$ 5120.08 $81000 - 100000$ 103.94101000and218.27Above $8100 - 10000$ 10 10	Total	254	100	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Duration in			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Service (Years)			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		5	1.96	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				
16-20187.121 Above19576.8Total254100Mean6.5Grade Level $3-5$ 17 $3-5$ 176.7 $6-10$ 7629.92 $11-15$ 15661.4216 Above51.96Total254100Income (N) $2000 - 40000$ 87 $20000 - 40000$ 5120.08 $81000 - 100000$ 103.94101000and218.27Above 87 34.25				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				
Total 254 100 Mean 6.5 Grade Level $3-5$ 17 6.7 $6-10$ 76 29.92 $11-15$ 156 61.42 16 Above 5 1.96 Total 254 100 Income (N) $20000 - 40000$ 87 34.25 $41000 - 60000$ 85 33.46 $61000 - 80000$ 51 20.08 $81000 - 100000$ 10 3.94 101000 and 21 8.27 Above 51 20.08				
Mean 6.5 Grade Level $3-5$ 17 6.7 $6-10$ 76 29.92 $11-15$ 156 61.42 16 Above 5 1.96 Total 254 100 Income (N) $20000 - 40000$ 87 34.25 $41000 - 60000$ 85 33.46 $61000 - 80000$ 51 20.08 $81000 - 100000$ 10 3.94 101000 and 21 8.27 Above 8000 8000 8000				
Grade Level $3-5$ 176.7 $6-10$ 7629.92 $11-15$ 15661.4216 Above51.96Total254100Income (N)20000 - 400008720000 - 400008533.4661000 - 600005120.0881000 - 100000103.94101000and218.27Above -5 -5			100	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		0.5		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		17	67	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				
16 Above5 1.96 Total 254 100 Income (N) $20000 - 40000$ 87 34.25 $20000 - 60000$ 85 33.46 $61000 - 80000$ 51 20.08 $81000 - 100000$ 10 3.94 101000 and 21 8.27 Above 40000 80000 8000				
Total254100Income (N)20000 - 400008734.2541000 - 600008533.4661000 - 800005120.0881000 - 100000103.94101000and21Above8000				
Income (N)20000 - 400008734.2541000 - 600008533.4661000 - 800005120.0881000 - 100000103.94101000and218.27Above				
20000 - 40000 87 34.25 41000 - 60000 85 33.46 61000 - 80000 51 20.08 81000 - 100000 10 3.94 101000 and 21 8.27 Above 34.25 33.46		254	100	
41000 - 60000 85 33.46 61000 - 80000 51 20.08 81000 - 100000 10 3.94 101000 and 21 8.27 Above		07	24.25	
61000 - 800005120.0881000 - 100000103.94101000and218.27Above				
81000 - 100000 10 3.94 101000 and 21 8.27 Above				
101000 and 21 8.27 Above				
Above				
		21	8.27	
Total 254 100				
Source: Field Survey 2015			100	

Source: Field Survey, 2015

Grade level of the respondents

Based on Table 2, shows the grade level of agricultural extension agents in the study area. It reveals that majority about 61.42% had grade levels between 11 and 15 with only 1.96% falls between the grade levels of 16 and above. Also, about 6.7% and 29.92% had grade levels between 3 and 5 as well as 6 and 10 respectively. The grade level in any structure of service determine the amount of wage/salary and individual gets as reward for services rendered, it therefore implied that, agricultural extension agents with high grade levels would receive high salaries than those with lower grade levels. However, Omotesho, et al. (2012) [14]reported low annual income of agricultural extension agents would affect their ability to afford information and commination technologies.

Income of the respondents

The distribution of the income of agricultural extension agents is shown in Table 2. The results reveals that about 67.71% of the agricultural extension agents in the study area who are the majority had an average monthly income of between N20, 000.00 to N 60, 000.00 only. 20.08% fall within the average monthly income range of between \mathbb{N} 61, 000. 00 and N 80, 000.00, about 3.94% fall within an income bracket of \mathbb{N} 81, 000.00 and \mathbb{N} 100, 000.00 while only few 8.27% had above N100, 000.00 as their average monthly income. The implication of these results is that agricultural extension agents were not comfortable enough financially to acquire and maintain most of the ICTs, especially computers and their accessories.

Agricultural extension agents' awareness of ICTs use in their performance of duties

Awareness of ICTs use in performance of agricultural extension activities by agricultural extension agents could instigate the desire and decision for use of ICTs in their activities. Table 3 shows that all the agricultural extension agents were aware of use of ICTs in performance of their duties. The table 4 further reveals that, 100% of the agricultural extension agents in the study area show awareness of use of GSM mobile phone in their activities.

Table 4 further reveals the distribution of

agricultural extension agents on the basis of awareness of specific ICTs; it shows that, all (100%) of the agricultural extension agents in the study area show awareness of use of GSM mobile phone in their activities, 46% were aware of the use of computer and about 32% shows awareness for the use of internet in performance of their duties Similarly, majority about (92%), 85% and 92% showed unawareness for the use of CD-ROM, GIS and webcam respective in performance of their duties. The result is in line with the findings of Umar et al., (2015) and Yakubu et al. (2013) [19] in their separate studies.

Table 3. Distribution of respondents' by awareness of use of ICTs in performance of duty

ICTs	Frequency	Percentage
Aware	254	100
Not aware	0	0.0
Total	254	100

Source: Field Survey, 2015

Table 4. Distribution	of respondents'	by awareness on
specific ICTs		

ICTs	Frequency		Percentage		
	Aware	Not	Aware	Not	Total
		aware		aware	%
Computer	117	137	46.06	53.94	100
Internet	80	174	31.49	68.51	
GSM-phone	254	0	100	0.0	
CD – Rom	21	233	8.26	91.74	
GIS	38	216	14.96	85.04	
(geographical					
information					
system)					
Webcam	21	233	8.26	91.74	
Others	7	247	2.75	97.25	
(specify)					

Source: Field Survey, 2015

CONCLUSIONS

Based on the empirical evidence of the study, the following conclusions were drawn.

Majority of agricultural extension agents were young and active and non below the age of 20 years. A large proportion of the agricultural extension agents in the study area were male. Majority were married with almost all of them having one form of education or another. Working experience of above 21 years is observed among the majority of agricultural extension agents, with more than half had grade levels of between 11 and 15 years. All of the agricultural extension agents were

Scientific Papers Series Management, Economic Engineering in Agriculture and Rural Development Vol. 16, Issue 1, 2016 PRINT ISSN 2284-7995, E-ISSN 2285-3952

aware of the use of one form of ICTs or another in performance of their duties. Based on these findings, the study recommends; as a matter of policy, all agricultural scientists and extension agents must possess proven skills in the utilization of ICT facilities like computer and Internet. This calls for in-service training ICTs applications for all staff in on agricultural organizations; Agricultural institutions should establish their websites and link up with others for sharing of information and tailor-made in-service trainings on the use of ICTs for agricultural extension agents should be encouraged.

REFERENCES

[1]Adeyanju Agbelemoge, A., Akinwumi, D. M., 2015, Utilization of Extension Information among Pig Farmers in Oke-aro Farm Settlement in Ogun State of Nigeria. American Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences 3(1): 1 - 6

[2]Adebayo, A. A., Umar, A. S., 1999, Hydrology and Water Resources. In: Adebayo, A. A. and Tukur, A.L. (eds.) Adamawa State in Maps. Paraclete Publishers, Yola Nigeria pp.101-123.

[3]Adesope, O, M., Asiabaka, C, C., Agumagu, A, C., 2007, Effect of Personal Characteristics of Extension Managers and Supervisors on Information Technology Needs in the Niger Delta Area of Nigeria, International Journal of Education and Development using ICT, 3 (2):11 – 19

[4]Agwu, A. E., Adeniran, A. A., 2009, Sources of Agricultural Information Used by Arable Crop Farmers in Isale Osun Farm Settlement, Osogbo Local Government Area of Osun State. Journal of Agricultural Extension 13 (1): 24 - 34

[5] Agwu, A, E., Uche-Mba, U, C., Akinnagbe, O, M., 2008, Use of Information Communication Technologies (ICTs) among Researchers, Extension Workers and Farmers in Abia and Enugu States: Implications for a National Agricultural Extension Policy on ICTs, Journal of Agricultural Extension: 12 (1): 37 - 49.

[6]Bello, M., Obinne, C. P. O., 2012, Problems and Prospects of Agricultural Information Sources Utilization by Small Scale Farmers: A Case from Nasarawa State of Nigeria. J Communication 3 (2): 91 -98

[7]Durojaiye, L. O., Abubakar, S. Z, Omeneza, Z. E, Muhammed, S., Wahab, A. A, Ismail, F.O., Musa, R.A., 2013, An ICT-Based Agricultural Extension Service Delivery for Nigeria. Journal of Agricultural Extension 17 (2): 16 - 22

[8]Ekumankama, O. O., Nwankwo, G., 2002, Radio Farm Broadcast: A Study of Adoption of Agricultural Innovations in Umuahia Zone, Abia State. Journal of Agricultural Extension 6 (1): 17 - 23.

[9]Idrisa, Y. L., Ogunbameru, B. O., Shehu, H., 2013, Use of Information and Communication Technology (ICT) among Extension Workers in Borno State, Nigeria. Journal of Agricultural Extension 17 (1): 69 -77

[10]Kalpana, C., 2011, How Many People Do I Need for My Survey, Survey Monkey Blogs. www.blog.surveymonkey.com. Accessed 28th October, 2014.

[11]Kehinde, A. T., Ayobami, A. L., 2015, Training Needs Assessment on the Use of Social Media among Extension Agents in Oyo State, Nigeria. Journal of Agricultural Informatics 6 (1):100 - 111

[12]Mabe, L. K., Oladele, O. I., 2012, Awareness Level of Use of Information Communication Technologies Tools among Extension Officers in the North- West Province, South Africa. Life Science Journal. 9(3): 440 - 444

[13]Ndaghu, A. A., 2011, Use of Information and Communication Technologies (ICTs) among Women Farmers in North-Eastern Nigeria. An unpublished doctoral thesis, University of Ibadan, Nigeria.

[14]Omotesho, K. F., Ogunlade, I. O., Muhammad, L., 2012, Assessment of Access to Information and Communication Technology among Agricultural Extension Officers in Kwara State, Nigeria, Asian Journal Agriculture and Rural Development. 2 (2): 220 – 225

[15]Purnomo,S. H., Lee, Y., 2010, An Assessment of Readiness and Barriers towards ICT Programme Implementation: Perceptions of Agricultural Extension Officers in Indonesia. International Journal of Education and Development using Information and Communication Technology 6 (3): 19 - 36.

[16]Seepersad, J., 2003, Case study in ICTs in Agricultural Extension in Trinidad and Tobago. In CTA ICTs – Transforming Agricultural Extension? The 6th Consultative Expert Meeting of CTA's Observatory on ICTs, Wageningen, 23- 25 September, 2003.

[17]Uganneya, S., Ape, R., Ugbagir, N., 2012, Information Services Provision and User Satisfaction in Agricultural Research Libraries in Nigeria. International Journal of Library and Information Science 3(6): 88 - 93

[18]Usman, I. S., 2014, Analysis of Utilization of Indegenious Desease and Parasites Control Methods among Cattle Harders in Adamawa State, Nigeria. An Unpublished Doctoral Thesis Department of Agricultural Economics and Extension University of Maiduguri, Nigeria.

[19]Yakubu, D. H., Abubakar, B. Z., Atala,T. K., Muhammed, A., 2013, Use of Information and Communication Technologies among Extension Agents in Kano State, Nigeria. Journal of Agricultural Extension 17 (1): 162 – 173

[20]World Bank, 2011, Social development in eastAsiaIndonesiaKecamatanDevelopment,www.worldbank.org.Projects&Programs