# THE MANAGEMENT OF LAND USE CHANGES IN PERI-URBAN AREA OF TIMISOARA CITY USING GIS AND REMOTE SENSING TECHNOLOGIES

# Mihai Valentin HERBEI<sup>1\*</sup>, Radu BERTICI<sup>1\*\*</sup>, Codruta BADALUTA – MINDA<sup>2</sup>, George POPESCU<sup>1\*\*\*</sup>, Florin SALA<sup>1\*\*\*\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Banat University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine "King Michael I of Romania" from Timisoara, \*Remote Sensing and GIS, \*\*Land management, \*\*\*Cadaster and Photogrammetry, \*\*\*\* Soil Science, Timisoara, 300645, Romania;

Emails: mihai\_herbei@yahoo.com, radu.bertici@gmail.com, popescu.george25@gmail.com, florin\_sala@usab-tm.ro

<sup>2</sup> Polytechnic University of Timisoara, Department of Hydrotechnics

## Corresponding author: popescu.george25@gmail.com

### Abstract

Land cover and Land use monitoring is a very complex process for a better understanding of the dynamics of the landscape over a long period of time. Such monitoring cannot be performed without using geospatial methods in the field of remote sensing and Geographic Information Systems (GIS). In this paper was analysed the use of land in the Periurban area of Timisoara in the period 1990-2018 based on data provided by the Copernicus program of the European Union. From the analysis of the data from 1990 to 2018, based on the GIS spatial analyses, it can be concluded that approximately 5,700 hectares have changed their destination. The most significant changes were those in the category Pesterers in Non irrigated arable land (21.8% - 1,256 ha) and the change in the category Non irrigated arable land in Discontinuous urban fabric (18.9% - 1,087 ha), leading to the conclusion both of the urbanization of this periurban area of Timisoara Municipality, but also of the development of the agricultural field. Also, in this study were analysed 3 remote sensing indices determined based on Landsat 8 images, data that can be the basis for a monitoring of urban expansion in western Romania.

*Key words:* GIS, Land cover, index, Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI), Normalized Burn Ratio (NBR), Normalized Difference Build up Index (NDBI), remote sensing

## **INTRODUCTION**

A series of communes that border the Municipality of Timişoara have experienced, in recent times, a significant development, becoming the "suburbs" of the municipality due to the development of facilities, utilities and infrastructure.

The urban development of the Timisoara Metropolitan area from 1990 until now has led to substantial changes in the communes in the immediate vicinity of the Municipality of Timisoara, so that there have been significant changes in the use of land. In this context, the periurban area of Timisoara Municipality represents an area of major interest for a healthy sustainable development and to satisfy the needs of all those involved. Thus, a correct management is required to support the community in the periurban area [23]. Land use and land cover are 2 terms that are very often used [20]. Land cover refers to the physical characteristics of the earth's surface: the distribution of vegetation, water, soil and other physical features, including those determined by human activities [15]. Land use - refers to the way in which the land area has been used by people, for example the functional role of land for various economic activities [4].

In conclusion, Land cover and Land use is the result of natural, socio-economic factors and land use by people in time and space [16]. Land cover and Land use monitoring is a very complex process for a better understanding of the dynamics of the landscape over a long period of time. Such monitoring cannot be performed without using geospatial methods in the field of remote sensing and Geographic Information Systems (GIS). Recent studies

#### Scientific Papers Series Management, Economic Engineering in Agriculture and Rural Development Vol. 21, Issue 3, 2021 PRINT ISSN 2284-7995, E-ISSN 2285-3952

have looked at how Land cover has undergone major changes in recent years, based on data from modern remote sensing systems. Landsat 8, Sentinel 2, Rapid Eye, World view, etc. systems were used in such studies. Information from remote sensing systems [6], [13] together with field data led to an objective classification of land cover.

Remote sensing techniques are the basis of the process of mapping large areas, and the information contained in the spectral bands of remote sensing images is of real use in monitoring and managing the earth's surface.

Integrating in a Geographic Information System [10], [11] data on the use and coverage of land, statistical data on the population of communes in the periurban area of Timisoara, respectively topo-cadastral data from field measurements, the decision-making process regarding the urban development of Timisoara Municipality and its adjacent communes can be improved [7], [14], [18]. Some studies also analyzed the agricultural areas in the Periurban perimeter of Timisoara in order to assess spatial and temporal variability [19].

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The peri-urban area of Timişoara Municipality consists of 12 territorial administrative units, Fig.1 and 26 localities, Fig. 2. According to the statistical yearbook [9], the data on the surface and the number of inhabitants are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Demografic data – Periurban Area of Timisoara iIty

No.	Administrative Territorial Unit - ATU	Surface ha	Number of inhabitants
1.	Dumbravita	1,896	10,984
2.	Ghiroda	3,412	7,188
3.	Mosnita Noua	6,912	9,095
4.	Giroc	5,200	14,037
5.	Sanmihaiu Roman	7,530	7,865
6.	Sacalaz	11,951	9,314
7.	Sanandrei	9,253	7,147
8.	Giarmata	7,169	7,469
9.	Remetea Mare	7,286	2,639
10.	Sag	3.495	,631
11.	Dudestii Noi	5,394	3,509
12.	Bechicherecu Mic	4,668	3,207

Source: Data's from NIS.



Fig. 1. The map of Periurban area of Timişoara Source: original image, based on GIS data.



Fig. 2. The map of Localities from Periurban area of Timişoara

Source: original image, based on GIS data.

In this paper were used vector and raster data, available free of charge for the entire territory of Romania, Table 2.

Table 2. Data used and data source

Data	GIS data	Source
	type	
Land Cover	Raster	[3]
Administrative Territorial Units - ATU	Vector	[1]
Localities	Vector	[1]
Digital Elevation Model	Raster	[22]
Remote sensing images	Raster	[12]
(2014-2018)		

Source: original data.

Data on how to use land cover (Land Cover) are part of the Corine Land Cover Project which is a European project that highlights the dynamics of land cover on a European scale [3].

Based on this project, Land Cover data are updated approximately every 6 years and are available free of charge on the website of the European platform Copernicus [21], which is a platform managed by the European Environment Agency.

The Corine Land Cover - CLC data are in GIS format of the vector type and the attributes of the polygons contain the information regarding the characteristics of the land cover type. The classification of the lands was made on 3 hierarchical levels, namely: Level 1: 5 classes Level 2: 15 classes Level 3: 44 classes



Fig. 3. Legend of Corine Land Cover Classes Source: https://land.copernicus.eu

The classification of the land cover was made based on a process of visual photointerpretation and images obtained from remote sensing systems: Landsat TM and ETM, SPOT, IRS P6, RapidEye, Sentinel-2 and Landsat-8. These data were supplemented with auxiliary data (aerial photographs, thematic maps). All CLC (Corine Land Cover) data layers are available on the internet [3], Figure 3.

In order to better understand how to change the land cover, a series of remote sensing satellite scenes from the Landsat 8 system were also used in this study. For this purpose, satellite scenes were downloaded between 2017 - 2020, July-August, and analysed the evolution of remote sensing indices: Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) [17], Normalized Burn Ratio (NBR), [2], [5], [8] and Normalized Difference Build up Index (NDBI) [24].

The NDVI value varies from -1 to 1. Higher the value of NDVI reflects high Near Infrared (NIR), means dense greenery. Generally, NDVI has the following values: from -1 to 0 and NDVI represents Water bodies, from -0.1 to 0.1 NDVI represents Barren rocks, sand, or snow, from 0.2 to 0.5 NDVI represents Shrubs and grasslands or senescing crops and from 0.6 to 1.0 NDVI represents Dense vegetation or tropical rainforest.

The NBR index is defined to highlight areas that have burned and to index the severity of a burn and the NDBI value lies between -1 to +1. Negative value of NDBI represent water bodies where as higher value represent buildup areas. NDBI value for vegetation is low. The calculation formulas of these indices are described in equations (1), (2) and (3).

NDVI = (NIR - R)/(NIR + R) (1) where: NDVI – Normalized Difference Vegetation index, NIR – Near Infrared Band, R – Redd band

NBR = (NIR – MIR)/(NIR + MIR) (2) where: NBR – Normalized Burn Ratio, NIR – Near Infrared Band, MIR – Middle Infrared Band

NDBI = (SWIR – NIR)/(SWIR + NIR) (3) where: NDBI – Normalized Difference Build up Index, SWIR – Shortwave Infrared Band, NIR – Near Infrared Band

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

Based on the GIS solutions used (Arc GIS software) 5 thematic maps of the studied area were accomplish, and the maps are represented in Figure 4.

The GIS Maps are representing the land cover in the Periurban area of Timisoara in the period 1990 - 2018. From a statistical point of view, CLC (Corine Land Cover) data in the period 1990 - 2018 are presented in Tables 3 and 4.

#### Scientific Papers Series Management, Economic Engineering in Agriculture and Rural Development Vol. 21, Issue 3, 2021 PRINT ISSN 2284-7995, E-ISSN 2285-3952



Fig. 4. The maps of Land Cover from 1990 - 2018 Source: original images based on Corine Land Cover.

The thematic maps are suggestive and express in a visual and concrete form the way in which the Periurban area of Timisoara City experienced a considerable development in the period 1990 - 2018 from an urban point of view.

In this context, GIS maps correlated with remote sensing images can support the precision agriculture for sustainable land management, regardless of their use category, by creating spatial databases containing their characteristic attributes.

From an economic point of view, the use of GIS and Remote sensing imagery is a major advantage over the use of classic cartographic material because it can provide a real-time

situation regarding the use and exploitation of the parcels at the level of an Administrative Territorial Unit (ATU).

Based on the data presented, it can be stated that the highest increase in the period 1990 -2018 was Discontinuous urban fabric 1.89% (1,129 ha) and Non-irrigated arable land of 2.05% (496 ha) and the decrease was felt. most at Pastures -1.91% (1,335 ha) and Land mainly occupied by agriculture, with significant areas of natural vegetation -2.02% (1,300 ha). The situation of the changes at the level of the study area, for the period 1990 -2018 is presented in Table 5.

Table 3. Distribution in hectares of Land Cover for the period 1990 - 2018

CLA SS	LAND COVER	ARIA(ha) 1990	ARIA(ha) 2000	ARIA(ha) 2006	ARIA(ha) 2012	ARIA(ha) 2018
112	Discontinuous urban fabric	4,020	7,216	8,321	8,285	5,148
121	Industrial or commercial units	117	117	273	484	498
133	Construction sites		33	1	109	43
211	Non-irrigated arable land	49,704	389,947	395,759	392,280	50,200
222	Fruit trees and berry plantations	0	0	48	0	0
231	Pastures	7,785	9,773	7,731	7,216	6,449
242	Complex cultivation patterns	689	748	628	753	777
243	Land principally occupied by agriculture, with significant areas of natural vegetation	1,339	5,170	38	38	38
321	Natural grasslands	588	594	0	0	0
411	Inland marshes	100	141	52	52	9
511	Water courses	0	0	177	177	136

Source: Data's from CLC 1990, 2000, 2006, 2012 and 2018.

Table 4.	Percentage	distribution	of Land	Cover for	the	period	1990 -	2018
1 4010	- ereeninge	and the control in	01 24114	00101101		perioa	1//0	-010

CLAS S	LAND COVER	ARIA(%) 1990	ARIA(%) 2000	ARIA(%) 2006	ARIA(%) 2012	ARIA(%) 2018
112	Discontinuous urban fabric	6.25	1.74	2.01	2.02	8.13
121	Industrial or commercial units	0.18	0.03	0.07	0.12	0.79
133	Construction sites	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.03	0.07
211	Non-irrigated arable land	77.25	94.25	95.82	95.82	79.31
222	Fruit trees and berry plantations	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00
231	Pastures	12.10	2.36	1.87	1.76	10.19
242	Complex cultivation patterns	1.07	0.18	0.15	0.18	1.23
243	Land principally occupied by agriculture, with significant areas of natural vegetation	2.08	1.25	0.01	0.01	0.06
321	Natural grasslands	0.91	0.14	0.00	0.00	0.00
411	Inland marshes	0.16	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.01
511	Water courses	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.04	0.21

Source: Data's from CLC 1990, 2000, 2006, 2012 and 2018.

In order to better understand how to change the land cover, a series of remote sensing satellite scenes from the Landsat 8 system were also used in this study.

For this purpose, satellite scenes were downloaded between 2017 - 2020, July-August, and analysed the distribution of NDVI indices, Figure 5, NBR, Figure 6 and NDBI, Figure 7.

From the analysis of the data from 2018, a high correlation was identified between NBR,

### NDBI and NDVI indices.

Regarding the statistical accuracy of the experimental analysis of the data, it was performed by appropriate mathematical and statistical methods (p, R2, test F).

To assess the interdependencies between NBR, NDBI and NDVI remote sensing indices, regression analysis was used which resulted in polynomial functions with related precision parameters.

#### Scientific Papers Series Management, Economic Engineering in Agriculture and Rural Development Vol. 21, Issue 3, 2021 PRINT ISSN 2284-7995, E-ISSN 2285-3952

Table 5. Area change from 1990 -2018 Area Change Change (1990 - 2018) Area Change (ha) (%) 1 Complex cultivation patterns - Discontinuous urban fabric 50,720 0.881 2 Complex cultivation patterns - Non-irrigated arable land 376,829 6.548 3 Complex cultivation patterns - Pastures 76,037 1.321 4 Complex cultivation patterns - Water courses 25.029 0.435 5 Discontinuous urban fabric - Complex cultivation patterns 132,446 2.301 6 Discontinuous urban fabric - Construction sites 0,669 0.012 7 Discontinuous urban fabric - Industrial or commercial units 33,817 0.588 8 Discontinuous urban fabric - Inland marshes 1,001 0.017 9 Discontinuous urban fabric - Land principally occupied by agriculture 2,293 0.040 37,019 10 Discontinuous urban fabric - Non-irrigated arable land 0.643 11 Discontinuous urban fabric - Pastures 28,116 0.489 19,228 0.334 12 Discontinuous urban fabric - Water courses 50,258 0.873 13 Industrial or commercial units - Complex cultivation patterns 14 Industrial or commercial units - Discontinuous urban fabric 3,647 0.063 15 Industrial or commercial units - Pastures 1,245 0.022 16 Industrial or commercial units - Water courses 4,324 0.075 17 Inland marshes - Discontinuous urban fabric 20,891 0.363 18 Inland marshes - Non-irrigated arable land 1,647 0.029 19 Inland marshes - Pastures 24,724 0.430 20 Land principally occupied by agriculture - Complex cultivation patterns 29,104 0.506 21 Land principally occupied by agriculture - Discontinuous urban fabric 20,691 0.360 22 Land principally occupied by agriculture - Industrial or commercial units 4,700 0.082 23 242,168 4.208 Land principally occupied by agriculture - Non-irrigated arable land 24 Land principally occupied by agriculture - Pastures 0,697 0.012 25 Land principally occupied by agriculture - Water courses 53,845 0.936 26 Natural grasslands - Discontinuous urban fabric 37,214 0.647 27 Natural grasslands - Land principally occupied by agriculture 1,001 0.017 28 Natural grasslands - Non-irrigated arable land 171,257 2.976 29 Natural grasslands - Pastures 340,681 5.920 30 Non-irrigated arable land - Complex cultivation patterns 361,933 6.289 42,076 31 Non-irrigated arable land - Construction sites 0.731 32 Non-irrigated arable land - Discontinuous urban fabric 1,087,295 18.893 33 Non-irrigated arable land - Industrial or commercial units 200,505 3.484 34 Non-irrigated arable land - Inland marshes 7,606 0.132 Non-irrigated arable land - Land principally occupied by agriculture 33,721 0.586 35 538,487 36 Non-irrigated arable land - Pastures 9.357 37 Non-irrigated arable land - Water courses 7,016 0.122 38 Pastures - Complex cultivation patterns 144,386 2.509 39 Pastures - Discontinuous urban fabric 159,790 2.777 40 102,302 Pastures - Industrial or commercial units 1.778 41 Pastures - Land principally occupied by agriculture 1,431 0.025 42 Pastures - Non-irrigated arable land 1,256,844 21.839 Pastures - Water courses 20,224 0.351 43

Source: original data obtained after GIS spatial analysis.



Fig. 7. The maps of NDBI 2017 -2020 Source: original images based on Landsat 8 Imagery.

Scientific Papers Series Management, Economic Engineering in Agriculture and Rural Development Vol. 21, Issue 3, 2021

Table 6. Remote sensing index values						
CLASS	NBR 2018	NDBI 2018	NDVI 2018			
112	0.259186	-0.12143	0.327436			
121	0.234976	-0.10488	0.280786			
133	0.284165	-0.11584	0.336997			
211	0.286317	-0.12514	0.348214			
231	0.370533	-0.17661	0.425699			
242	0.303872	-0.1427	0.362165			
243	0.336919	-0.1711	0.396058			
411	0.446249	-0.27733	0.45946			
511	0.405585	-0.232	0.429187			

PRINT ISSN 2284-7995, E-ISSN 2285-3952

Source: original data obtained after calculated the remote sensing indexes.

The NDVI index, used to characterize the vegetation, had average values between 0.28078 - 0.45946. The NDVI index recorded minimum values for category 121 - Industrial or commercial units and reached maximum values for category 231 - Pastures and category 411 - Inland marshes.

The NBR index used to evaluate the combustion potential based on multispectral satellite data had values between 0.23497 - 0.44624. The minimum values recorded for the NBR index were recorded in the land cover category 121 - Industrial or commercial units to reach the maximum values in category 411 - Inland marshes and 231 - Pastures.

The NDBI index used to map the built-up urban areas had values between -0.2773 - - 0.10488. The minimum values recorded for the NDBI index were recorded in the land cover category 411 - Inland marshes to reach the maximum values in category 121 - Industrial or commercial units.

The statistical correlation analysis revealed the high level of correlations between NBR, NDBI and NDVI indices for the periurban of Timișoara Municipality. area High correlations were identified between NBR, NDBI and NDVI indices, namely -0.97351 between NBR and NDBI, 0.977785 between NBR and NDVI and -0.92114 between NDBI and NDVI. Given the high level of correlations between the analysed index, the regression analysis was used to evaluate the predictive relationship of each index based on the spectral values of the other 2 indices.

Prediction for NDVI values based on spectral data recorded for NBR and NDBI was possible with high statistical accuracy: R2 = 0.985; p <= 0.001 for NBR, equation (4), respectively R2 = 0.942; p <= 0.001 for NDBI, equation (5).

$$NDVI_P = -2.363x^2 + 2.412x - 0.1503$$
 (4)  
where: NDVI<sub>P</sub> - predicted NDVI; x - NBR.

$$NDVI_P = -6.36x^2 - 3.307x + 0.02324$$
 (5)  
where: NDVI<sub>P</sub> - predicted NDVI; x - NDBI.



Fig. 8. Relation of dependence between NDVI - NBR for the study area

Source: original graph based on data from Table 6.



Fig. 9. Relation of dependence between NDVI – NDBI for the study area

Source: original graph based on data from Table 6.

The PCA analysis of the distribution of NDVI classes, for the studied area, according to NDBI and NBR, is shown in Figure 7. PC1 explained 97.507% of variance. PC2 explained 2.2792% PC3 explained and 0.21412% of variance. The multivariate analysis facilitated the grouping of the results according to the calculated remote sensing indices and the land cover categories in the peri-urban area, based on Euclidean distances, figure 8, with a high statistical precision, cophenetic index value being 0.7298.



Fig. 10. PCA scatter diagram Source: original image based on experimental data.



Fig. 11. Dendrogram of cases studied based on Euclidean distances

Source: original image based on experimental data.

# CONCLUSIONS

From the analysis of the data from 1990 to 2018, based on the GIS spatial analyses, the following conclusions could be drawn: About 5,700 hectares have changed their destination. The most significant changes were the change from the category of Pesterers in Non irrigated arable land (21.8% - 1,256 ha) and the change from the category Non irrigated arable land to Discontinuous urban fabric (18.9% - 1,087 ha) this leading to the conclusion of urbanization to this periurban area of Timisoara Municipality, but also to the development of the agricultural field.

The science of the Geographic Information Systems together with the Remote sensing imagery can be used by the local administrations of the periurban localities of the major cities of Romania in order to monitor how the use of the land is changing and to predict the future directions of development of these areas.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thanks to the GEOMATICS Research Laboratory, BUASMV "King Michael I of Romania" from Timisoara, for the facility of the software use for this study.

## REFERENCES

[1]ANCPI, 2021, Geoportal, www.geoportal.ancpi.ro/ Accessed on 18 January 2021.

[2]Cocke, A. E., Fulé, P. Z., Crouse, J. E., 2005, Comparison of burn severity assessments using Differenced Normalized Burn Ratio and ground data. International Journal of Wildland Fire, 14, pp. 189-198. [3]Copernicus, Land Monitoring Service, 2021, CORINE Land Cover, https://land.copernicus.eu/paneuropean/corine-land-cover, Accessed on 18 January 2021.

[4]Dimyati, M. U. H., Mizuno, K., Kobayashi, S., Kitamura, T., 1996, An analysis of land use/cover change in Indonesia. International Journal of Remote Sensing, 17(5), 931-944.

[5]Escuin, S., Navarro, R., Fernández, P., 2008. Fire severity assessment by using NBR (Normalized Burn Ratio) and NDVI (Normalized Difference Vegetation Index) derived from Landsat TM/ETM images. International Journal of Remote Sensing, 29(4):1053-1073.

[6]Govedarica, M., Ristic, A., Herbei M.V., Sala, F., 2015, Object oriented image analysis in remote sensing of forest and vineyard areas, Bulletin UASVM Horticulture, 72(2):362-370.

[7]Herbei, M. V., Sala, F., 2020, Evaluation of urban areas by remote sensing methods in relation to climatic conditions: Case study City of Timisoara. Carpathian Journal of Earth and Environmental Sciences, 15(2): 327-337

[8]Key, C., Benson, N.C., 1999, The normalized burn ratio: a Landsat TM radiometric measure of burn severity. http://www.nrmsc.usgs.gov/research/ndbr.htm [9]National Institute of Statistics, 2020, https://timis.insse.ro, Accessed on 18 January 2021.

[10]Oncia, S., Herbei, M., Popescu, C., 2013a, Study of territorial and infrastructure development in the Petrosani city - The Hunedoara county using GIS elements, J. Environ. Prot. Ecol., 14(1):225-232.

[11]Oncia, S., Herbei, M., Popescu, C., 2013b, Sustainable development of the Petrosani City, the Hunedoara County based on GIS analysis, J. Environ. Prot. Ecol., 14(3):232-240.

[12]Planet, 2021, Planet images the Earth every day. making change visible, accessible and actionable, www.planet.com, Accessed on 18 January 2021.

[13]Popescu, C.A., Herbei, M.V., Sala, F., 2020, Remote sensing in the analysis and characterization of spatial variability of the territory. A study case in Timis County, Romania, Scientific Papers Series Management, Economic Engineering in Agriculture and Rural Development, 20(1): 505-514. [14]Prince, S.D. 2019, Challenges for remote sensing of the Sustainable Development Goal SDG 15.3.1 productivity indicator, Remote Sens. Environ. 234: 111428.

[15]Rawat, J. S., Kumar, M., 2015, Monitoring land use/cover change using remote sensing and GIS techniques: A case study of Hawalbagh block, district Almora, Uttarakhand, India. The Egyptian Journal of Remote Sensing and Space Science, 18(1), 77-84.

[16]Riebsame, W. E., Meyer, W. B., Turner, B. L., 1994, Modeling land use and cover as part of global environmental change. Climatic change, 28(1-2), 45-64.

[17]Rouse, J.W. Jr., Haas R.H., Schell J.A., Deering D.W., 1973, Monitoring vegetation systems in the Great Plains with ERTS. Third Earth Resource Technology Sattelite - 1 Symposium, NASA SP-351(I): 309-317.

[18]Roy, P.S., Behera, M.D., Srivastav, S.K., 2017, Satellite remote sensing: Sensors, applications and techniques. P. Natl. A. Sci. India Section A: Physical Sciences, 87:465-472.

[19]Sala, F., Popescu, C.A., Herbei, M.V., 2020, Remote sensing in the analysis and characterization of spatial variability of the territory. a study case in Timis county, Romania, Scientific Papers Series Management, Economic Engineering in Agriculture and Rural Development, Vol. 20(1):505-514.

[20]Shalaby, A., Tateishi, R., 2007, Remote sensing and GIS for mapping and monitoring land cover and land-use changes in the Northwestern coastal zone of Egypt. Applied Geography, 27(1), 28-41.

[21]Ursu, A, Stoleriu, C.C., Ion, C., Jitariu, V., Enea, A., 2020, Romanian Natura 2000 Network: Evaluation of the Threats and Pressures through the Corine Land Cover Dataset. Remote Sensing. 2020; 12(13):2075.

[22]USGS, 2021, www.usgs.com, Accessed on 18 January 2021.

[23]Volokhova, I. Novikov, I. S., 2020, Rural development in the context of social wealth: aspects of the regional labor market. Scientific Papers Series Management, Economic Engineering in Agriculture and Rural Development. Vol. 20(3), 635- 648.

[24]Zha, Y., Gao, J., Ni, S., 2003, Use of normalized difference built-up index in automatically mapping urban areas from TM imagery. International journal of remote sensing, 24(3), 583-594.