RESEARCH ON CLUSTERING CONDITIONS IN SOUTH AFRICA

Diana-Cosmina CHIFOR, Felix ARION

University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine Cluj-Napoca, 3-5, Manastur street, Cluj-Napoca, Romania, E-mails: diana.chifor@usamvcluj.ro, felixarion@usamvcluj.ro

Corresponding author: diana.chifor@usamvcluj.ro

Abstract

Initially, clusters focused on creating a framework for cooperation between economic actors, research institutes, and public authorities from the same geographical concentration. Considering the European cluster model as a way of developing solid cooperation, the same steps were presented to find the interest of South African's economic actors in developing a feasible partnership model. This includes analyses on a wider scale, such as the degree of development of the compared countries where the model was implemented, the particularities and political priorities, the fields of activity. Going forward, the next steps that can be done are: mapping the existing clusters and their operating characteristics, assessing the regional business environments and the degree of competitiveness. After carrying out the analysis, there is concern about whether this concept can be implemented in countries like South Africa. Additional investigation will focus on other elements that affect the model's successful application.

Key words: clusters, competitiveness, cooperation, environment, impact, South Africa model

INTRODUCTION

The links created between players in the same industry or between various industries are shifting as a result of constant dynamism and change, along with the borders of those industries.

Some industries experience such intense competition because of how interconnected they are. The biggest problem today might be to figure out ways or procedures that could be used to assist economic actors in becoming more creative and competitive in such a dynamic global market (European Commission- European Observatory for Clusters and Industrial Change, 2019) [16].

At the European level, industrial clusters may be an effective economic and regional support European instrument. The Cluster Collaboration Platform (ECCP) describes industrial clusters as "geographical concentrations of firms and other actors working in related spheres of economic activity, such as Sectoral Industries and Industrial Ecosystems [6].

Clusters are a significant component of the European industrial landscape, according to the European Expert Group on Clusters - Recommendation Report [9]. In 2020, there were 2,950 regional industrial clusters, which

accounted for almost every fourth job in Europe (61.8 million jobs, or 23.4% of all employment) and roughly half of employment in exporting industries (50.3%) [10, 17] (European Commission- European Observatory for Clusters and Industrial Change, 2020) [17].

Theoretically and empirically, clustering is beneficial for businesses and regions, which is why it has been widely used in the field of economic framework development.

Clusters are a component of a more comprehensive conceptual framework for comprehending factors that affect regional and national competitiveness. This approach, which is based on the paper named Competitive Advantage of Nations by Michael E. Porter (1990) [29], established a connection between firm-level conduct and economic policy at both the micro and macroeconomic levels (European Commission- Europe INNOVA, 2007) [11, 12].

Industrial clusters can provide a compelling paradigm for municipal and state leaders to evaluate and sustain the economies they lead. The value of clusters was recognized at the European level, and the EU established the EU cluster policy to put this understanding into practice by using clusters to carry out

PRINT ISSN 2284-7995, E-ISSN 2285-3952

European priorities. The following table emphasizes the role played by the Clusters in carrying out the priorities settled on at the European level.

Table 1. The integration of clusters to carry out of EU actions

EU CLUSTER ACTIONS				
Definition/ Purpose	Key points			
EUF	ROCLUSTERS			
Industry clusters and other economic actors are gathered by cross-sectoral, interdisciplinary, and trans-European strategic initiatives known as euroclusters.	 ∇ Carry out the industrial policy of the EU ∇ Develop novel opportunities for SMEs ∇ Successfully integrate SMEs into global and European strategic value chains. 			
Europear	n cluster partnerships			
The goal of launching European cluster partnerships was to enhance cooperation between regions and industries.	 ▼ Take initiatives for the benefit of their SME members as a whole; ▼ Boost economic growth and competitiveness in Europe; Type of European cluster partnerships: 1.Innovation (INNOSUP) [11] 2.International (ESCP-4i)[13] 3.Excellence (ESCP-4x) [14] 4.Smart Specialisation (ESCP-s3) [15] 			
Ch	usterXchange			
This is an exchange program for clusters, SMEs and scale-up support organizations.	 ✓ Support short-term exchanges to better connect industrial ecosystems in Europe ✓ Facilitates transnational cooperation, peer learning, networking and innovation 			
European Clusters Excellence				
Promotes the excellence of cluster organizations and the services they offer	 ∇ Cluster benchmarking methodology ∇ Quality of cluster organisations' management 			

Source: European Commission [18].

The afore mentioned initiatives represent some of the programs in which the clusters have participated over time, contributing significantly to the growth of the industrial ecosystem. In addition to these, the European Commission claims that a number of other actions have been implemented using clusters as:

 ∇ The European Cluster Collaboration Platform- serves as a European virtual hub for industrial clusters [18, 19]:

- \diamond tracks cluster activity;
- ♦ offers specialist assistance to clusters;
- \diamond organizes matchmaking activities and events.

 ∇ The initiative called "Advanced Technologies for Industry" (ATI) keeps methodically checks on technological advancements and disseminates accurate and up-to-date information on these technologies [18, 19]:

♦ provides statistical data and analytical reports;

♦ analyses of policy measures and policy tools related to the uptake of advanced technologies

♦ analyses of technological trends in competing economies

♦ access to technology centres and innovation hubs across EU countries

 ∇ The Technical Assistance Facility for Modernization (TAF) as part of the Interregional Investment financing support provided project owners with the opportunity to interact with business, corporate finance, and legal experts from top business consulting firms to improve their business strategies and interregional projects' readiness for investment [19].

Additional features of the cluster:

 ∇ Promoting the green transformation within the EU and beyond;

 ∇ Assistance to policymakers;

 ∇ Discussion among EU nations: European expert group on clusters;

 ∇ Emerging industries and value chains-Within the environment built by clusters, businesses from many industries can compete and work together.

As can be seen, the European Commission has exploited the strong ecosystem of clusters that has grown over time and positions clusters at the centre of the measures they recommend for implementation at the European level.

PRINT ISSN 2284-7995, E-ISSN 2285-3952

This paper aims to present a perspective of South African initiatives for developing an ecosystem of clusters and cluster policies in comparison to European ecosystem of clusters and cluster policies.

In order to reach this goal, it is required to establish some essential components for the creation of clusters, namely: **cluster architecture**, **the type of clustering initiative and the governance structure and cluster policies**.

1. Cluster architecture-this paper defines the cluster's architecture as consisting of all the actors that compose the cluster. The Triple Helix Model, which includes **authority** \Leftrightarrow **business** \Leftrightarrow **university**, is the most used framework for describing cluster components [5, 25].



Fig. 1. The Triple Helix Model

Source: Figure of the authors represented in accordance with Egorova, Babkinb, Kovrova, Muravevab, (2015) characterization)[5].

Some states, such as Romania, have extended the Triple Helix model by including additional elements to fully group the relevant actors and create a functional cluster.

The triple helix model was modified by Romania by including **catalytic organizations**, giving it the name "four-leaf clover" model [20, 27].



Fig. 2. "Four-leaf clover" model

Source: Figure of the authors represented in accordance with Mazilu's 2013 characterization [27].

2. Cluster initiative refers to how the cluster initiative was established, such as whether it came up naturally from the industry (**"bottom-up approach"**) or whether public authorities took the initiative to create specific clusters (**"top-down approach"**) [23, 28].

3. Governance structure - it consists of the organisational structure needed for the cluster to operate. In this respect, the following can be mentioned:

 ∇ The term "**cluster initiative**" refers to concerted efforts by businesses, the government, and/or the scientific community to advance the growth and competitiveness of clusters in a certain area [1, 3].

 ∇ **Cluster organisation-** legal structures known as cluster organizations help networks merge and form, encourage learning in innovation clusters, and help members of the community receive specialized and individualized services [8].

 ∇ Cluster managementin cluster management, there are two main parts: the operational cluster management, which consists of the cluster manager and the employees, and the strategic management structure, which consists of a cluster board advisory committee made and up of representatives of the companies/entities in the cluster [31].

 ∇ **Cluster strategic plan-** this is a tool for organizing and tracking organizational performance as well as one that presents

PRINT ISSN 2284-7995, E-ISSN 2285-3952

growth options consistent with the chosen goals and course of action. It refers to the framework required for boosting the cluster's long-term value [24].

4. Cluster policies- are regulation that consists of a selection of specific government initiatives designed to support already-existing clusters and/or encourage the creation of new ones [8].

The maturity of cluster policies has been mapped by ECCP at both the EU and worldwide levels, taking into account the legislative role in establishing and fostering the growth of clusters.

In determining maturity, ECCP examined 4 key elements [18].

 ∇ **Policy scope-** if the country has a specific policy for clusters or aims to build and/or develop clusters through more general strategies

 ∇ **Consistency of cluster policies-** rates the country's duration and experience when creating cluster policies, are evaluated only existing cluster policies not general policies.

 ∇ **Evidence of performance-** the existence of evaluation and assessment mechanisms to measure the level of political development in the country.

 ∇ **Instruments-** rates if policies offer any resources to aid in the execution of policies, either financial and/or technical help.

The clustering potential in South Africa will be further examined using the components outlined above, starting with the European perspective of clusters and cluster policies.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

To demonstrate the potential of clustering in South Africa, qualitative research was conducted through a bibliographic review and documentary analysis (documents, records and case studies).

An investigation of the criteria used to characterize the maturity of cluster policies was also carried out [21].

Official reports and official statistics data regarding clusters in Europe and South Africa were the sources of the research materials.

European Commission and European Clusters Collaboration Platform, Department of Trade Industry and Competition Republic of South Africa served as the research's primary sources [4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 32].

As can be seen secondary data were consulted by the authors to carry out the present research [26].



Fig. 3. Research methodology outline Source: Authors' processing.

According to ECCP, there are only two countries in Africa that have had to do with the cluster concept or cluster policies over time, namely South Africa and Morocco. The findings were provided in line with the ECCP methodology on the maturity of the policies after consulting all the data gathered to highlight the outcomes. This was followed by an analysis based on the SWOT Analysis. The SWOT analysis, also referred to as the SWOT matrix, is used in the business field to assess a certain decision, project, or extensively to evaluate a policy direction [22, 30].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The ECCP report on cluster policies in South Africa served as the starting point for the presentation of the outcomes, which then moved on to the presentation of the results at the national level. The authors conducted a SWOT analysis on the basis of the findings to PRINT ISSN 2284-7995, E-ISSN 2285-3952

assess the possibility of building a strong ecosystem of clusters in South Africa. Based to the report prepared by ECCP, South Africa established and carried out two initiatives oriented to industrial agglomerations, namely the Competitiveness Improvement Programme and the Special Economic Zone Programme.

The Competitiveness Increasing Programme, as can be observed, takes a specialized sectoral approach.

Table 2. Overview of the CIP programme for cluster support in South Africa (2009-present)				
COMPETITIVENESS IMPROVEMENT (CIP)PROGRAMME				
	OBJECTIVE	INSTRUMENTS		
∇	Cooperation between businesses or industry and RTDI actors should be improved	 ✓ Financial: Funding collaboration initiatives; Support to R&D projects, SMEs becoming cluster members 	200	
∇	Enhancing SMEs' capacity for growth and competitiveness the promotion of internationalization efforts	▼ Technical assistance: Infrastructure; Support for hard skill and soft skills development; Support for networking	90	
∇	Promoting R&D, technology development, and application	and partnership building; Marketing activities.		
∇	Promoting inventiveness and enhancing innovation ecosystems			
∇	Enhancing the cluster organizations' network and cross-clustering		Τ	
	BUDGET	FOCUS & BENEFICIARS		
 Maximum overall support for common cluster projects: EUR 1.3 million Financing for national clusters and the subnational clusters that sustain them: ∇ grant for initial investment equal to 100% of the first year's approved expenses; ∇ 95% from the CIP in the second year; ∇ 90% in the third year ∇ 80% in the fourth year 		SECTORAL APPROACH \Rightarrow Mainly targets: clothing, textiles, footwear, leather, and leather goods manufacturing industries ∇ SMEs ∇ Research organisations ∇ Large firms ∇ General population		
∇	70% in the fifth year from the CIP			
RESPONSIBLE AUTHORITIES & SOURCE OF FINANCING: The main organization in charge of creating, implementing, and funding the CIP in South Africa is the Department of Trade and Industry (DTI).				
RESULTS: Overall, the CIP was successful, according to a 2017 assessment. Cluster members have been able to increase their direct exports of clothing or their sales to regional stores. The export of shoes to other countries and the Eurozone helped to supplement these exports. CIP also succeeded in keeping existing jobs in the sector and adding new ones. Moreover, Big Retailers work more closely, either individually or in groups, with regional producers.				

Source: Authors' processing according to data from the ECCP report [17].

According to the findings that have been made public, the program was able to help accomplish the objectives that were intended. A report on the CIP outcomes states that 61 applications were submitted in 2017, of which 22 company-level projects and 39 cluster initiatives [17]. The program is able to fund applications for up to five years, and even if

PRINT ISSN 2284-7995, E-ISSN 2285-3952

the grant rate declines, the financial help is still significant until year five (70% grant).

 Table 3. Overview of the SEZ programme for cluster support in South Africa (2012-present)

SPECIAL ECONOMIC ZONE (SEZ) PROGRAMME				
OBJECTIVE	INSTRUMENTS			
 ✓ Boosting competition and encouraging the growth of SMEs ✓ Facilitating internationalization efforts ✓ Promoting of technological development, technology implementation, and research and development ✓ Building innovative ecosystems and encouraging innovation ✓ Encouraging business startups and spinoffs ✓ Promoting the social economy, the sustainable economy, and other initiatives based on solidarity ✓ Encouraging employment and enhancing abilities ✓ Linkage to world supply chains 	 ▼ Financial: Subsidies to hire personnel; Subsidies for cluster infrastructure (e.g. offices, equipment); Other: encouraging foreign investment; tax stimulants; discounts; customs controlled areas; donation of land or provision of land at a reduced rate by municipalities for the establishment of SEZs; provision of utilities; sometimes at preferential rates. ▼ Technical assistance: Infrastructure; Support for hard skill and soft skills development; Marketing activities; Others: Specialised waste services 	2012		
BUDGET	FOCUS & BENEFICIARS			
Dates about the budgets are not available	SECTORAL APPROACH \Rightarrow Mainly targets: industries and manufacturing focused on exports, including those in the automotive, agro-processing, chemical, general, business process outsourcing, and energy industries. ∇ SMEs ∇ Start-ups ∇ Large firms ∇ General population ∇ Others: Foreign investors			
RESPONSIBLE AUTHORITIES &	SOURCE OF FINANCING: The main	pre		
organization in charge of creating, implem	enting, and funding the SEZ in South Africa	senu		

is the Department of Trade and Industry (DTI). The SEZ Programme is also financed by Department of Economic Development Environmental Affairs and Tourism, and regional organizations such the Buffalo City Metropolitan Municipality for the East London IDZ.

RESULTS: According to ECCP, the last information was recorded at the level of the years 2012–2013 in terms of data about the evaluation of the impact that the SEZ Programme had.

Source: Authors' processing according to data from the ECCP report [17].

To complete the information above, the Special Economic Zone (SEZ) program aims to facilitate the growth process of industrial agglomerations that attract investment and encourage the development of business capacity through the creation of clusters. The

PRINT ISSN 2284-7995, E-ISSN 2285-3952

SEZ program, like the CIP program, adopts a sectoral approach; the beneficiaries being almost the same category, but they support a number of industries, especially those with export potential.

South Africa received a score of **6p** for its performance in the analysis conducted by ECCP regarding the maturity of cluster policies. This score is obtained as follows: Policy Scope 2p, Continuity 1p, and Clusters Cluster Support Instruments 2p. A maximum of 2 points can be earned by each of the three criteria. South Africa received a score of 1 (out of a possible 1 point) for the Evidence of Performance criteria.

The ECCP report's data analysis revealed that there are measures that address the economic environment and that have persisted despite brief interruptions.

In addition to the European perspective provided in the ECCP study, the authors also reviewed the specific websites of the bodies in charge of putting cluster support policies into action and were able to note the following:

 ∇ A program called the Cluster Development Program (CDP) which was funded by the DTI existed, which aimed to promote industrialisation, long-term economic growth and the need for job creation in South Africa [4].

 ∇ No information is available regarding the status of CDP funded initiatives.

In the following, a brief presentation of the CDP will be made, based on the data contained in the document Pilot Guidelines for the Cluster Development Program (CDP).

The CDP's goal was to make businesses within a cluster more competitive. Additionally, it suggested putting the plan into action through clearly defined collaborative initiatives that deal with marketing, productivity, and production.

The following industries were chosen as priority and qualified for application:

 ∇ Metal fabrications, capital equipment and rail transport;

 ∇ Plastics, chemicals, cosmetics and pharmaceuticals;

 ∇ Forestry, timber, pulp, paper and furniture;

 ∇ Agro-processing;

 ∇ Business process services;

 ∇ Cultural and creative industries, crafts, music and film;

 ∇ Boat building;

 ∇ Green and energy saving industries;

 ∇ Development of minerals downstream;

 ∇ Upstream oil and gas services and equipment;

 ∇ Nuclear;

 ∇ Advanced manufacturing (including defence, aerospace, electrotechnical and white good.

SHARED INFRASTRUCTURE GRANT						
Financing conditions		Focus areas				
∇	Non-taxable matching cash grant of up	∇	Enterprise Development			
	to 80% of the investment	∇	Location			
∇	Maximum of R10 million per cluster	∇	Skills development			
∇	Shared infrastructure	∇	Export promotion			
Eligible expenses			Excluded expenses			
∇	Building	∇	Office furniture			
∇	Machinery and equipment	∇	Computer furniture			
∇	Commercial vehicles	∇	Land costs			
∇	Tools, jigs and dies					

 Table 4. Supported activities of the Cluster Development Programme and the requirements for applications

 SHARED INFRASTRUCTURE GRANT

PRINT ISSN 2284-7995, E-ISSN 2285-3952

	BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT SERVICES					
	Financing conditions		Focus areas			
∇ ∇	Non-taxable cash matching grant of up to 80% of the investment Maximum grant of R5 million per cluster	abla $ abla$ $ abl$	Innovation Employment creation Product development Supplier Development Programme (SDP)			
	Eligible expenses		Excluded expenses			
	Cost of consulting Costs of benchmarking Conformity reviews, and accreditation, as well as local and international intellectual property registration Feasibility analysis fees Product/technology advancements Post-prototype development (validation and testing of the prototype) Bio-prospecting	∇ ∇ ∇	Engines and other assets Land and structures Automobiles Travel and expenses for accommodation			
	CLUSTER MANAGEMENT OF	RGA	ANISATION (CMO) FUNDING			
	Financing conditions		Focus areas			
∇	Grant of non-taxable matching funds equal to up to 80% of the expenditures associated with establishing the CMO R5 million maximum per cluster	∇ ∇ ∇ ∇	Relevant industry knowledge and experience Compliance with governmental directives Public/Private Partnership Proven capacity to expand and foster the launch of new companies or SMEs in the industry			
		V	Demonstrated capacity to organize workshops that foster networking and information sharing for the cluster			
	Eligible expenses		Excluded expenses			
∇ ∇ ∇ ∇ ∇ ∇ ∇ ∇	Project management fees Administration fees Wages and salaries (limited to a total of three employees and capped at R2 million or 70% of the total payroll, whichever is smaller) Rent limited to R250 000 and may not exceed 70% of the rental cost Office furnishings and supplies Operating expenses, including those for electricity, water, telephones, and stationery Travel and accommodation expenses, including travel expenses and allowances (limited at R50,000 annually) Charges for event management (for networking events)		Capital equipment Land and buildings Vehicles			

Source: Authors' processing in accordance with information from the paper Pilot Guidelines for the Cluster Development Program (CDP) [2].

Scientific Papers Series Management, Economic Engineering in Agriculture and Rural Development Vol. 23, Issue 2, 2023 PRINT ISSN 2284-7995, E-ISSN 2285-3952

Clusters in the automotive, clothing, leather and footwear sectors that are already receiving were not eligible for funding benefits from the DTI industrial policy sector office. The program focused on funding for cluster management organizations, business development services. and supporting investments in shared infrastructure. The DTI, the organization in charge of carrying it out, suspended the Cluster Development Program since April 1, 2018 [4]. There are no public statistics on the impact it had on the

applicants. It can be seen that CDP was a program that complemented SEZ and CIP in that it targeted the other industries. Both CIP and SEZ have so far succeeded in maintaining continuity with and supporting their intended beneficiaries over a long period of time.

In order to determine South Africa's potential for creating a cluster environment and the enabling policies for this ecosystem, the authors used the information indicated above to conduct a SWOT analysis.



- v the sustainability and consistency of policies to support certain structures that are similar to the cluster model
- ∇ the increased interest in the cluster model that resulted from studying the specialized literature
- ∇ limitation regarding the support financing programs
- ∇ the top-down approach has limitations when it comes to the priorities of the economic environment or stakeholders across the value chain
- ∇ the policies developed until now have focused more on the industrial and production sector, leaving out sectors with potential



clusters can be a key driven in order to **boost the competitiveness** of the economic sector

- ∇ clusters by their nature can stimulate collaboration and support innovation, and knowledge transfer and can integrate the entire value chain in a field V using lessons learned from the government programmes in order to build a strong cluster model
- ∇ considering the history related to the way of creating programs and policies for the prioritization of sectors, it will be difficult to introduce a new concept
- ∇ the economic inconsistency does not provide the predictability of the country's priorities
- 7 the limited understanding of the cluster designed by the government due to the lack of experience and operational capacity can lead to unsuccess

Fig. 4. SWOT assessment of the clustering potential in South Africa Source: Authors' processing.

With experience in administering complex programs to facilitate cooperation in several industries, South Africa has good prospects for the creation of high-performance cluster ecosystems. There are cluster efforts that were supported, according to both the data from the ECCP report and the official sources of the authorities in charge of developing and implementing the programs specific to the different clusters, but there is no hard evidence of the lessons learned. However, it is unclear why the DTI decided to stop funding the CDP initiative. This cluster support program was the one that was most similar to the ones in Europe.

CONCLUSIONS

Cluster ecosystem creation and preservation require a variety of strategies, and South

Africa has been effective in adjusting its programs to the local economic circumstances.

Regarding the clustering potential, South Africa offers promising prospects, and the European models can unquestionably serve as examples of good practices for developing a useful cluster model.

A perspective more closely aligned with the economic realities of the business, academic, and research environments that represent the major actors involved in the operation of a cluster could be obtained by broadening the way of approaching how clusters are formed through the transition from **top-down to bottom-up approach.**

In order to design cluster policies that are as tailored to the development requirements of

PRINT ISSN 2284-7995, E-ISSN 2285-3952

the cluster ecosystem as possible, the authorities in charge of establishing them could draw on the knowledge gained from earlier initiatives.

Beyond clusters, there are undoubtedly other layouts and strategies for interventions that impact businesses. Cluster initiatives may not be the best way to encourage regional economic development for many regions or even for many countries. Each government should design its own strategy to encourage the growth of collaboration and boost business competitiveness.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

A.F.H. acknowledges the support by AgroTransilvania Cluster, through the project "AgroTransilvania Cluster-Cluster specialized in bioeconomy" (Competitiveness Operational Program Axis 1. Program co-financed by the Regional Development European Fund "Investing for your future", Section B-Competition code POC-A1-A1.1.1-B-2015-Project type-Innovation clusters. P_35_476, co-funded by European Regional Development Fund), SMIS:104449

C.D.C. acknowledges the support by AgroTransilvania Cluster, through the project "AgroTransilvania Cluster - Center for research. development, innovation and field" support in the bioeconomy (Competitiveness Operational Program Axis 1. Research, technological development and innovation (CDI) in support of economic competitiveness and business development. Competition code POC/975/1/1/Large infrastructures of CD-Project type-Innovation clusters, co-funded by European Regional Development Fund), contract no.3/111/ section B/25.10.2022, SMIS:152512

REFERENCES

[1]Aragón, C., Aranguren, M.J., Iturrioz, C., Wilson, J.R., 2012, A social capital approach for network policy learning: the case of an established cluster initiative. European Urban and Regional Studies, 21(2) 128–145. [2]Department of Trade and Industry, South Africa, Pilot Guidelines for the Cluster Development Programme (CDP),

https://www.innovationbridge.info/ibportal/sites/default

/files/Cluster_Development_Programme_Guidelines.pd f, Accessed on March 3, 2023.

[3]Diaz de Astarloa, B., Tacsir, E., 2022, Cluster Initiatives and Economic Resilience: Evidence from a Technology Cluster in Argentina. Inter-American Development Bank.

[4]DTCapital.co.za, Cluster Development Programme 9CDP) Grant provided by the DTI, https://dtcapital.co.za/cluster-development-programmecdp-grant-provided-by-the-dti/business-grants/,

Accessed on March 3, 2023.

[5]Egorova, N.E., Babkinb, A.V., Kovrova, G.S., Muravevab, S.V., 2015. Comparative Assessment of Innovative Activity of Region's Economy Actors on the Basis of the Triple Helix Model. Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences, 207, 816 – 823.

[6]European Cluster Collaboration Platform, Map industrial clusters and partners, https://reporting.clustercollaboration.eu/?pk_vid=eb344 3c8e85291cf1678553204c50330, Accessed on 10 February, 2023.

[7]European Cluster Collaboration Platform, Select a country, South Africa Facts Sheet, https://reporting.clustercollaboration.eu/policy,

Accessed on 22 February, 2023.

[8]European Cluster and Industrial Transformation Trends Report,

file:///C:/Users/user/Downloads/european%20cluster% 20and%20industrial%20transformation%20trends-

EA0419766ENN.pdf, Accessed on 10 February, 2023

[9]European Expert Group on clusters Recommendation

report(file:///C:/Users/user/Downloads/European%20Expert%20Group%20on%20Clusters%20-

%20Recommendation%20Report.pdf)

[10]European Panorama of Clusters and Industrial Change(https://clustercollaboration.eu/sites/default/file s/news_attachment/european_panorama_2020.pdf)

[11]Europe INNOVA paper No. 1. European Commission Directorate General Enterprise and Industry (2007). Innovation Clusters in the 10 New Member States of the European Union. Luxembourg: Office for Official Publications of the European Communities.

[12]European Cluster Collaboration Platform, Partnerships/Innovation,

https://clustercollaboration.eu/eu-cluster-

partnerships/innovation, Accessed on February 10, 2023.

[13]European Cluster Collaboration Platform, Partnerships/ESCP-4i,

https://clustercollaboration.eu/eu-cluster-

partnerships/escp-4i, Accessed on February 10, 2023.

[14]European Cluster Collaboration Platform, Partnerships/ESCP-4x,

https://clustercollaboration.eu/eu-cluster-

partnerships/escp-4x, Accessed on February 10, 2023.

[15]European Cluster Collaboration Platform, Partnerships/ESCP-S3,

Scientific Papers Series Management, Economic Engineering in Agriculture and Rural Development Vol. 23, Issue 2, 2023 PRINT ISSN 2284-7995, E-ISSN 2285-3952

https://clustercollaboration.eu/eu-cluster-

partnerships/escp-s3, Accessed on February 10, 2023. [16]European Observatory for Clusters and Industrial Change, 2019, European cluster and industrial transformation trends report. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union.

[17]European Observatory for Clusters and Industrial Change, 2020, European Panorama of Clusters and Industrial Change. Performance of strong clusters across 51 sectors and the role of firm size in driving specialisation. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union.

[18]European Union, Single market economy, Industry, Strategy, Cluster policy,

https://single-market-

economy.ec.europa.eu/industry/strategy/cluster-

policy_en, Accessed on February 10, 2023.

[19]European Union, Single market economy, https://single-market-economy.ec.europa.eu/,Accessed on February 10, 2023.

[20]Fundeanu, D.D., Badele, C.S., 2014, The Impact of Regional Innovative Clusters on Competitiveness. Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences. 124 (20), 405-414.

[21]Ganesha, H. R., Aithal, P.S., 2022, How to Choose an Appropriate Research Data Collection Method and Method Choice Among Various Research Data Collection Methods and Method Choices During Ph.D. Program in India?.International Journal of Management, Technology, and Social Sciences, 7(2), 455-489.

[22]Helms, M.M., Nixon, J., 2010, Exploring SWOT analysis – where are we now? A review of academic research from the last decade, Journal of Strategy and Management, 3(3), 215-251.

[23]Jungwirth, C., Müller, E.F., 2014, Top-Down and Bottom-Up Cluster Initiatives from a Principal-Agent Perspective: What We Can Learn for Designing Governance Regimes. Schmalenbach Business Revew, 66, 357–381.

[24]León-Soriano, R., Munoz-Torres, M., J., Chalmeta-Rosalen, R., 2010, Methodology for sustainability strategic planning and management. Industrial Management & Data Systems, 110 (2), 249-268.

[25]Leydesdorff, L., Etzkowitz, H., 1998, The Triple Helix as a model for innovation studies. Science and Public Policy, 25 (3), 195–203.

[26]Mazhar, S.A., Anjum, R., Anwar, A.I., Khan, A.A., 2021, Methods of Data Collection: A Fundamental Tool of Research. Journal of Integrated Community Health, 10 (1), 6-10.

[27]Mazilu, M., 2013, Tourism - Innovation for Sustainable Turinn Cluster model. International Journal of Energy Environment, 1 (7).

[28]Maticiuc M., 2014, Top-down and bottom-up cluster initiatives in Europe. Annals of the University of Petroşani, Economics, 14(1), 205-212.

[29]Porter, M.E., (1990). Competitive Advantage of Nations.Harvard Business Review.

[30]Reihanian, A., Mahmood, N.Z.B., Kahrom, E., Hin, T.W., 2012, Sustainable tourism development strategy by SWOT analysis: Boujagh National Park, Iran. Tourism Management Perspectives, 4, 223-228.

[31]Rothgang, M., Lageman, B., 2016, Evaluating Cluster Initiatives: Agency, Organisation, Functionality, Performance. Journal for Research and Technology Policy Evaluation, 41, 25-34.

[32]Unacademy.com, Primary and Secondary data, https://unacademy.com/content/difference-

between/primary-and-secondary-data/, Accessed on 22 February, 2023.